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## Chapter 1 - Introduction

Evergreen Solutions conducted a Comprehensive Classification and Compensation Study for Martin County, Florida beginning in March 2015. The purpose of the study was to analyze the County's compensation system compared to the market and make recommendations to improve the County's competitiveness in regards to its ability to recruit and retain a diverse and qualified workforce. This involved reviewing and analyzing the internal and external equity of the County's current classification and compensation structure and making recommendations in response to the findings.

Evergreen Solutions utilized a method of job evaluation utilizing an online Job Assessment Tool (JAT) to understand the current work being performed by County employees. This provided the data for the internal equity review of the County's classification system. External equity, or the market competitiveness of the County's current overall compensation structure, was reviewed by conducting and analyzing the results of a salary and benefits survey. Ultimately, the results of these analyses, both internal and external, were considered when making recommendations to improve the County's competitive market position. To achieve this goal, Evergreen Solutions was tasked with:

- Leading orientation and focus group sessions for employees and conducting interviews with department heads;
- Evaluating the County's current salary structure to determine its strengths and weaknesses;
- Collecting classification information through the JAT to analyze the internal equity of the County's classifications;
- Developing recommendations for improvements to classification titles and the creation of new titles, if necessary;
- Conducting market salary and benefits surveys to assess the market competitiveness of the County's current overall Compensation plan and to determine common benefits offered by peer organizations;
- Developing a compensation structure and slotting classifications into that structure while ensuring internal and external equity;
- Developing an implementation strategy and providing cost estimates for implementation;
- Providing the County with information and strategies regarding compensation and classification administration;
- Developing and submitting draft and final reports that summarize study findings and recommendations.

Evergreen Solutions used a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods to develop recommendations to improve the County's competitive position. Study activities included:

- Conducting a study kick-off meeting;
- Conducting employee outreach;
- Conducting job assessments utilizing the JAT;
- Analyzing the current conditions of the County's compensation system;
- Conducting a market salary and benefits survey;
- Developing classification and compensation structure recommendations;
- Developing implementation options for the proposed structure;
- Developing recommendations for maintaining the new system; and
- Creating draft and final reports.


## Kick-off Meeting

The kick-off meeting allowed members of the study team from both the County and Evergreen Solutions to discuss different aspects of the study. During the meeting, information about the County's compensation and classification structures and philosophies was shared and the work plan for the study was finalized. The meeting also provided an opportunity for Evergreen Solutions to explain the types of data needed to begin the study.

## Employee Outreach

The orientation sessions, which occurred in April 2015, provided an opportunity for employees and supervisors to learn more information about the purpose of the study, and receive specific information related to their participation in the study process. The focus group meetings and department head interviews allowed County employees, supervisors, and senior management to identify practices that were working well at the County, as well as to suggest areas of opportunities for improvement with regard to compensation, classification, and benefits. The feedback received during these sessions is summarized in Chapter 2 of this report.

## Classification Analysis

To perform an analysis of the County's classification system, all employees were asked to complete a JAT in which they had the opportunity to describe the work they perform in their own words. Supervisors were then asked to review their employees' JATs and provide additional information as needed about the classifications. The information provided in the completed JATs was utilized in the classification analysis in two ways. First, the work described was reviewed to ensure that classification titles were being utilized appropriately. Second, the JATs were evaluated to quantify, by a scoring method, each classification's relative value within the organization. Each classification's score was based on employee and supervisor
responses to the JAT, and the scores allowed for a comparison of classifications across the County.

## Analysis of Current Conditions

The County's current employee database was analyzed with a close look at how the current pay plans were being utilized. The current pay plans, the progression of employee salaries through pay grades, employee tenure, and the distribution of employees among the County's departments were all examined during this process. Chapter 3 of this report summarizes the findings of this analysis.

## Market Analysis

For the market analysis, peer organizations were identified that compete with the County for human resources and provide similar services. A number of classifications were selected as benchmarks for the survey. These positions represented a cross-section of the departments and levels of work at the County. After the selection of peers and benchmarks, a survey tool was developed for the collection of salary range data for each benchmark. A survey tool was also developed to collect data about the core and fringe benefits offered by the identified peer organizations. The salary and benefits data collected during this survey were analyzed, and a summary of the data can be found in Chapter 4 of this report.

## Recommendations

During the recommendation phase of the study, Evergreen Solutions developed a marketbased pay plan and slotted classifications into the pay plan based on internal and external equity. Next, implementation options were developed to transition employee salaries into the new pay grades, and the associated costs of adjusting employee salaries were estimated. Information has been provided to the County on how to execute the recommended salary adjustments, as well as how to maintain the recommended classification and compensation system over time. A summary of the recommendations made by Evergreen Solutions regarding the classification and compensation structure can be found in Chapter 5 of this report.

# Chapter 2 - Summary of Employee Outreach 

In April 2015, Evergreen Solutions consultants conducted outreach at the County, which included orientation sessions and a series of employee focus groups with County employees. Orientation sessions provided employees with information about the study, while focus groups were designed to solicit input from County employees on a number of topics related to the study. Employees who were unable to attend focus group sessions were invited to provide feedback via an online survey. The comments of the focus group and survey participants were valuable, and the findings from these meetings are summarized below.

### 2.1 GENERAL FEEDBACK

Employees generally considered the County a good place to work. They appreciated the stability and the benefits package that County employment provides and enjoyed the work that they do for the County. They also appreciated the opportunity to serve the community in which they live. However, employees suggested several areas where the County could improve. For example, employees felt that compensation lagged during the economic downturn and would like to see the County regain its competitiveness with the market. They also would like to see updates to the classification structure, including job descriptions, because they believed that job duties and assignments have changed over time.

## Benefits Observations

Many focus group participants cited the County's benefits package as a reason for initially seeking employment at and remaining with the County. However, some participants felt that recent changes to the County's benefits have made the package less valuable, which has created equity issues between long-term and newer employees. More specific comments expressed by participants regarding benefits are listed below:

- Employees generally liked the health insurance offered to County employees, although some participants would prefer to have an option between the current two tiers that would provide coverage for employees plus spouse.
- Participants stated that the employee wellness clinic is a valuable resource that provides quality, affordable health care at a convenient location.
- Newer employees perceive the change in paid time off policies as unequitable because employees who have been employed by the County for longer have higher accrual rates.


## Compensation Issues

Focus group participants also offered the following related to compensation:

- Employees stated that stagnant wages during the economic downturn were especially hard because of increases in the cost of living as well as the Florida Retirement System's implementation of mandatory employee contributions.
- Employees also expressed that the lack of salary increases has led to wage compression because new employees earn similar wages as employees whose wages remained at pay grade minimums over the past several years.
- Some employees felt that the County's current hiring practices, which restrict new hires to at or just above pay grade minimums, may be hurting recruitment efforts; however, participants also stated that current employees' salaries should be considered when determining hiring rates.
- Focus group participants stated that some classifications should receive hazard pay or certification pay. There was a general concern that if the County does not provide additional pay to employees with desirable certifications that the County will have difficulty retaining qualified employees.


## Classification Issues

Participants were asked to provide Evergreen Solutions with feedback regarding classification. Many of the responses were related to issues specific to individual classifications, which were analyzed during the Job Assessment Tool (JAT) review process. Below is a list of a few of their general issues:

- Some classifications at the County are split into multiple levels, and participants stated that there may not be differentiation in duties or qualifications for the different levels.
- Other classifications at the County have the same title even though the positions have become more specialized over time, and employees stated that they would like to see the titles and pay grades for those positions reflect the specialization.
- Employees generally felt that the County's current job descriptions are not all accurate or clear, which has made it hard to attract quality applicants to fill vacancies.
- Some employees said that they would like the County's job descriptions to be posted online so that employees can more easily access them.
- There was a concern among some participants that the County needs to establish higher minimum qualifications for some positions, and, in some classifications, test applicants to ensure that they are qualified.


## Performance Management

Employees were asked about their current performance evaluation system. Focus group participants were divided on the issue of performance evaluation, with some employees providing positive feedback about the current system and others stating they would prefer something different. Below are some of the comments about performance evaluation.

- Most focus group participants felt that evaluations should be tied to performance pay because they would like hard-working employees to be rewarded and because they think it will make supervisors and employees take the evaluation process more seriously.
- Some employees stated that the current form is too long and takes too much time to complete.
- Employees generally like that the current performance evaluation is completed on a computer and that it includes self-evaluation.
- Employees think that the forms could be more specific to their assigned duties, and they would like a form that has more objective questions.


### 2.2 MARKET SURVEY FEEDBACK

During focus groups, Evergreen Solutions asked participants questions to solicit input on the peer organizations and positions for possible inclusion in the salary survey. Common responses to these questions were summarized below and were considered during the planning and development of the survey conducted for the County.

## Market Peers

Focus group participants were asked to name organizations they considered to be market peers. Some of these are listed below and were considered when developing the list of peers for the salary survey:

- Broward County, FL;
- Indian River County, FL;
- Miami-Dade County, FL;
- Palm Beach County, FL;
- St. Lucie County, FL;
- City of Fort Pierce, FL;
- City of Stuart, FL;
- Town of Jupiter, FL;
- St. Johns River Water Management District;
- Southwest Florida Water Management District; and
- Various private sector organizations.


## Benchmark Positions

Employees were also asked which positions within the County present the greatest challenges with regard to recruitment and retention. Some of the positions or functional areas mentioned by focus group participants were:

- Administrative Specialist I and II;
- Analyst positions across all departments;
- Emergency Medical Dispatcher;
- EMT/Ocean Lifeguard;
- Equipment operator;
- Groundskeeper II;
- Lead HVAC Technician;
- Planner;
- Plumber;
- Several Information Technology positions, including positions related to server maintenance, networking, and system administration; and
- Telecommunicator.


### 2.3 SUMMARY

The issues that focus group and survey participants mentioned exist in many organizations in the public sector, and, overall, employees believed the County is a good place to work. Many employees mentioned the County's generous benefits package, the opportunity to serve the community in which they live, and their quality co-workers as reasons they enjoy and have stayed working at the County. The feedback provided by participants served as a foundation for the remainder of the study by revealing classification and compensation issues at the County that required special attention through the course of the study.

## Chapter 3 - Assessment of Current Conditions

The purpose of this chapter is to provide the results an overall assessment of the structure of the compensation plan in place within the County at the onset of the study, including how employees' salaries had been placed relative to their pay grades, and a brief analysis of employee tenure and how employees were divided among departments. Data included here reflected the demographics in place at the beginning of the study and should be considered a snapshot in time. The data contained within this report provided fertile ground for more detailed analysis and recommendations through the course of this study, but were not sufficient cause for recommendations on their own. By reviewing this information, Evergreen Solutions gained a better understanding of the County's compensation philosophies and policies, which helped in identifying issues for both further review and potential revision.

### 3.1 PAY PLAN ANALYSIS

The County's pay plan consisted of several salary tables, which were used to assign classifications to pay grades. The four salary tables with grades assigned to classifications in the study were the BF salary table for general classifications, the IA salary table for classifications represented by the International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF) labor union, the CL salary table for the County Administrator and County Attorney classifications, and the EO salary table for County Commissioners. The County had additional salary tables for employees of the Supervisor of Elections and a separate on call salary table that were not included in this analysis.

The BF and IA salary tables had open range pay grades, which consisted of an established minimum and maximum salary and allowed for flexibility in the increments by which employees' salaries moved through the pay range. The CL and EO salary tables defined maximum salaries for the classifications assigned to those grades; no minimum salaries were established on those salary tables.

Exhibit 3A illustrates the County's BF salary table, which consisted of 22 grades, all of which were assigned to at least one employee. One way to measure the width of a pay grade is by calculating the percentage increase from the grade's minimum to its maximum salary. This measure is called the grade's range spread. The range spreads on the BF salary schedule varied between 29 percent and 104 percent, with an average range spread of 65 percent. Grade 108 was assigned to the most employees, with 90 employees in classifications assigned to that grade. Grades 104 and 121 were each only assigned to one employee.

## EXHIBIT 3A <br> CURRENT BF SALARY TABLE

| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Range <br> Spread | Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104 | $\$ 22,335$ | $\$ 29,148$ | $\$ 35,961$ | $61 \%$ | 1 |
| 105 | $\$ 23,841$ | $\$ 31,127$ | $\$ 38,412$ | $61 \%$ | 38 |
| 106 | $\$ 25,504$ | $\$ 33,267$ | $\$ 41,031$ | $61 \%$ | 47 |
| 107 | $\$ 27,270$ | $\$ 35,585$ | $\$ 43,900$ | $61 \%$ | 12 |
| 108 | $\$ 29,166$ | $\$ 38,051$ | $\$ 46,935$ | $61 \%$ | 90 |
| 109 | $\$ 31,191$ | $\$ 40,765$ | $\$ 50,338$ | $61 \%$ | 47 |
| 110 | $\$ 33,320$ | $\$ 43,935$ | $\$ 54,551$ | $64 \%$ | 42 |
| 111 | $\$ 36,114$ | $\$ 47,626$ | $\$ 59,138$ | $64 \%$ | 79 |
| 112 | $\$ 39,144$ | $\$ 51,624$ | $\$ 64,105$ | $64 \%$ | 33 |
| 113 | $\$ 42,441$ | $\$ 55,976$ | $\$ 69,512$ | $64 \%$ | 46 |
| 114 | $\$ 46,003$ | $\$ 60,671$ | $\$ 75,338$ | $64 \%$ | 29 |
| 115 | $\$ 49,875$ | $\$ 64,581$ | $\$ 79,287$ | $59 \%$ | 38 |
| 116 | $\$ 54,061$ | $\$ 72,152$ | $\$ 90,242$ | $67 \%$ | 9 |
| 117 | $\$ 58,600$ | $\$ 77,300$ | $\$ 96,000$ | $64 \%$ | 18 |
| 118 | $\$ 61,500$ | $\$ 86,000$ | $\$ 110,500$ | $80 \%$ | 11 |
| 119 | $\$ 63,288$ | $\$ 96,219$ | $\$ 129,150$ | $104 \%$ | 3 |
| $120 C$ | $\$ 74,000$ | $\$ 97,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $62 \%$ | 3 |
| $120 B$ | $\$ 80,000$ | $\$ 104,000$ | $\$ 128,000$ | $60 \%$ | 3 |
| $120 A$ | $\$ 87,000$ | $\$ 113,250$ | $\$ 139,500$ | $60 \%$ | 5 |
| 121 | $\$ 73,819$ | $\$ 112,231$ | $\$ 150,643$ | $104 \%$ | 1 |
| CA201 | $\$ 76,000$ | $\$ 87,200$ | $\$ 98,400$ | $29 \%$ | 2 |
| CA202 | $\$ 92,500$ | $\$ 113,750$ | $\$ 135,000$ | $46 \%$ | 2 |
|  |  | Total |  | $65 \%$ | 559 |

Exhibit 3B illustrates the County's IA salary table, which consisted of six grades, one for each classification represented by the IAFF. All six pay grades were assigned to at least one employee in the study. The IA pay plan had a consistent structure for all grades, as each grade's range spread was 71 percent. Over 75 percent of employees were in classifications assigned to grades ESPEC and FPMED, which had 107 and 115 employees, respectively. The ESPEC grade was assigned to employees in the Firefighter EMT classification, and the FPMED grade was assigned to the Firefighter Paramedic classification. Only one employee was in the Fire Mechanic classification, which was assigned to the FMECH grade, and only two were in the Fire Mechanic - Non Combat classification, which was assigned to the FMENC grade.

EXHIBIT 3B
CURRENT IA SALARY TABLE

| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Range <br> Spread | Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ESPEC | $\$ 43,118$ | $\$ 58,432$ | $\$ 73,746$ | $71 \%$ | 107 |
| FMECH | $\$ 45,274$ | $\$ 61,354$ | $\$ 77,433$ | $71 \%$ | 1 |
| FMENC | $\$ 43,118$ | $\$ 58,432$ | $\$ 73,746$ | $71 \%$ | 2 |
| FPMED | $\$ 49,915$ | $\$ 67,643$ | $\$ 85,370$ | $71 \%$ | 115 |
| FRM/D | $\$ 66,890$ | $\$ 90,648$ | $\$ 114,405$ | $71 \%$ | 9 |
| LIEUT | $\$ 55,031$ | $\$ 74,576$ | $\$ 94,121$ | $71 \%$ | 59 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ |  |  |  | 293 |

Exhibit 3C shows the County's CL and EO salary tables, which each consisted of a single pay grade. The only grade on the CL salary table, grade C, was assigned to the County Administrator and County Attorney classifications. The only grade on the EO salary table, grade E, was assigned to the County Commissioner classification. Because both of these pay grades only had established maximum salaries, no range spreads could be calculated for them.

EXHIBIT 3C
CURRENT CL AND EO SALARY TABLES

| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Range <br> Spread | Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -- | -- | $\$ 200,000$ | N/A | 2 |
| E | -- | -- | $\$ 100,000$ | N/A | 5 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

It is important to have an organized pay structure, like the County's salary tables, because it gives employees something to work towards and also helps clear confusion about future salary increases or equity among different pay grades. An established pay structure also allows an organization to analyze and address problems regarding compression within job classifications and compression among different grades with a sense of consistency and thoroughness.

Additionally, consideration of the external market as well as the need for internal equity among classifications can benefit the County in a number of ways. A competitive pay structure will allow the County to be a more effective recruiter in the marketplace, offer comparable base salaries for similar positions, and give employees ample room for upward growth and motivation for professional development, all of which the present compensation plan had the potential to do.

### 3.2 GRADE PLACEMENT ANALYSIS

In assessing the overall effectiveness of the County's pay plan and policies, it was helpful to analyze where employees' salaries stood in comparison to the range in which they were placed. For example, an organization with limited methods by which employees are able to progress through the ranges, would be expected to reveal a large clustering of employees at or near the minimum of their pay grades. An organization with severely uncompetitive range values may have employees clustered near the top of their ranges because the organization is required to pay them the highest salary possible in order to limit turnover. The purpose of this analysis was to determine if these situations existed at the County at the onset of the study. The only pay grades included in this analysis were grades on the BF and IA salary tables. The grades on the CL and EO salary tables were excluded from the analysis and do not appear in Exhibits 3D through 3H because those pay grades did not establish full salary ranges.

Exhibit 3D shows the number and percentage of County employees who earned salaries at the minimum and at the maximum of their assigned pay grade. A total of 36 employees, or 4.2 percent of the employees assigned to BF or IA pay grades, earned salaries at their pay grade's minimum. A total of 109 employees, or 12.8 percent of the included employees, earned salaries at the maximum of their pay grade. Being at the grade minimum is typically a sign of a newer employee or an employee who was recently promoted to her or his classification, and who has therefore not had the opportunity or experience necessary to progress from that entry level of compensation. Contrarily, being at the grade maximum is typically a sign of an established employee who has had the opportunity or experience necessary to progress to the top of compensation, or that an employee may be nearing an opportunity for promotion which would result in a reclassification into a new pay grade.

Only 2.0 percent of the employees in pay grades on the BF salary table earned salaries at range minimums. A moderate percentage of employees in the BF pay grades ( 8.2 percent) earned salaries at their pay grade maximums. A similar percentage ( 8.5 percent) of employees in IA pay grades earned their pay grade minimums. A relatively large amount of employees in IA pay grades, 63 or 21.5 percent, earned salaries at their respective pay grade maximums, which was initially a point of concern. However, further investigation revealed that these 63 employees had spent an average of 15.8 years in their current classification. Therefore, it was not surprising that these employees had moved through their pay grades during the course of their careers.

## EXHIBIT 3D

EMPLOYEES AT MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM BY PAY GRADE

| Grade | Employees | At Minimum |  | At Maximum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| 104 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 105 | 38 | 3 | 7.9\% | 2 | 5.3\% |
| 106 | 47 | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 6.4\% |
| 107 | 12 | 1 | 8.3\% | 2 | 16.7\% |
| 108 | 90 | 4 | 4.4\% | 9 | 10.0\% |
| 109 | 47 | 0 | 0.0\% | 5 | 10.6\% |
| 110 | 42 | 1 | 2.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 111 | 79 | 0 | 0.0\% | 5 | 6.3\% |
| 112 | 33 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 6.1\% |
| 113 | 46 | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 8.7\% |
| 114 | 29 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 3.4\% |
| 115 | 38 | 2 | 5.3\% | 4 | 10.5\% |
| 116 | 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 117 | 18 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 5.6\% |
| 118 | 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 119 | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 33.3\% |
| 120 C | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 120B | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 66.7\% |
| 120A | 5 | 0 | 0.0\% | 5 | 100.0\% |
| 121 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| CA201 | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| CA202 | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| BF Total | 559 | 11 | 2.0\% | 46 | 8.2\% |
| ESPEC | 107 | 25 | 23.4\% | 14 | 13.1\% |
| FMECH | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| FMENC | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| FPMED | 115 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 10.4\% |
| FRM/D | 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 66.7\% |
| LIEUT | 59 | 0 | 0.0\% | 31 | 52.5\% |
| IA Total | 293 | 25 | 8.5\% | 63 | 21.5\% |
| Overall Total | 852 | 36 | 4.2\% | 109 | 12.8\% |

Grade midpoint is often considered a key point for comparison with the market because, depending on the organization's compensation philosophy, employees earning grade midpoints are usually fully trained in their assigned duties and responsibilities. Therefore, it was important to examine the amount of employees at the County whose salaries fell above and below the calculated midpoint of their respective pay grade. Exhibit 3E provides the
number and percentage of employees with salaries that were above and below midpoint by pay grade.

EXHIBIT 3E
EMPLOYEES ABOVE AND BELOW MIDPOINT BY PAY GRADE

| Grade | Employees | Below Midpoint |  | Above Midpoint |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| 104 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| 105 | 38 | 34 | 89.5\% | 4 | 10.5\% |
| 106 | 47 | 36 | 76.6\% | 11 | 23.4\% |
| 107 | 12 | 9 | 75.0\% | 3 | 25.0\% |
| 108 | 90 | 70 | 77.8\% | 20 | 22.2\% |
| 109 | 47 | 33 | 70.2\% | 14 | 29.8\% |
| 110 | 42 | 28 | 66.7\% | 14 | 33.3\% |
| 111 | 79 | 51 | 64.6\% | 28 | 35.4\% |
| 112 | 33 | 21 | 63.6\% | 12 | 36.4\% |
| 113 | 46 | 20 | 43.5\% | 26 | 56.5\% |
| 114 | 29 | 21 | 72.4\% | 8 | 27.6\% |
| 115 | 38 | 13 | 34.2\% | 25 | 65.8\% |
| 116 | 9 | 1 | 11.1\% | 8 | 88.9\% |
| 117 | 18 | 5 | 27.8\% | 13 | 72.2\% |
| 118 | 11 | 1 | 9.1\% | 10 | 90.9\% |
| 119 | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| 120 C | 3 | 1 | 33.3\% | 2 | 66.7\% |
| 120B | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| 120A | 5 | 0 | 0.0\% | 5 | 100.0\% |
| 121 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| CA201 | 2 | 2 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| CA202 | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
| BF Total | 559 | 346 | 61.9\% | 213 | 38.1\% |
| ESPEC | 107 | 78 | 72.9\% | 29 | 27.1\% |
| FMECH | 1 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| FMENC | 2 | 2 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| FPMED | 115 | 82 | 71.3\% | 33 | 28.7\% |
| FRM/D | 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 9 | 100.0\% |
| LIEUT | 59 | 11 | 18.6\% | 48 | 81.4\% |
| IA Total | 293 | 174 | 59.4\% | 119 | 40.6\% |
| Overall Total | 852 | 520 | 61.0\% | 332 | 39.0\% |

A total of 520 employees (61.0 percent) had salaries below the midpoint and 332 employees (39.0 percent) had salaries above the midpoint of their respective pay grade. Too many
employees above or below midpoint can result in compression within a pay grade. The County had a slight imbalance of employees above and below midpoint, but further analysis of the quartiles within each pay grade revealed that once employee tenure was considered, the County did not appear to have widespread salary compression issues.

### 3.3 QUARTILE ANALYSIS

In the quartile analysis, each BF and IA pay grade possessing at least one full-time employee was divided into four equal segments, or quartiles, and employees were assigned a quartile based on their salary. Exhibit 3F shows the number of employees with salaries that were in each quartile of each pay grade and the average class years of those employees. Exhibits 3G and 3 H show graphically the percentage of the total number of employees in each grade that had salaries in each quartile on the BF and IA salary tables.

EXHIBIT 3F
QUARTILE AND CLASS YEARS ANALYSIS (COUNT OF EMPLOYEES)

| Grade | Total <br> Emps | Avg Class <br> Years | 1st Quartile |  | 2nd Quartile |  | 3rd Quartile |  | 4th Quartile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# Emps | Avg Class Years | \# Emps | Avg Class Years | \# Emps | Avg Class Years | \# Emps | Avg Class <br> Years |
| 104 | 1 | 16.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 16.1 |
| 105 | 38 | 5.5 | 31 | 3.6 | 3 | 9.9 | 0 | -- | 4 | 16.7 |
| 106 | 47 | 5.3 | 32 | 3.5 | 4 | 4.0 | 5 | 8.3 | 6 | 13.2 |
| 107 | 12 | 5.2 | 6 | 2.1 | 3 | 6.5 | 1 | 14.2 | 2 | 8.2 |
| 108 | 90 | 5.8 | 58 | 2.9 | 12 | 8.1 | 6 | 6.7 | 14 | 15.9 |
| 109 | 47 | 6.4 | 24 | 3.2 | 9 | 3.9 | 6 | 13.4 | 8 | 13.7 |
| 110 | 42 | 7.3 | 19 | 4.4 | 9 | 7.1 | 7 | 10.0 | 7 | 13.0 |
| 111 | 79 | 7.1 | 33 | 3.4 | 18 | 6.1 | 12 | 10.0 | 16 | 13.7 |
| 112 | 33 | 7.1 | 13 | 4.3 | 8 | 4.7 | 8 | 10.2 | 4 | 14.6 |
| 113 | 46 | 7.4 | 16 | 4.4 | 4 | 5.1 | 11 | 8.1 | 15 | 10.8 |
| 114 | 29 | 5.0 | 12 | 2.8 | 9 | 4.3 | 5 | 8.7 | 3 | 9.6 |
| 115 | 38 | 7.2 | 7 | 1.2 | 6 | 5.5 | 14 | 7.8 | 11 | 11.2 |
| 116 | 9 | 9.3 | 0 | -- | 1 | 3.8 | 5 | 6.1 | 3 | 16.5 |
| 117 | 18 | 8.5 | 2 | 6.0 | 3 | 4.4 | 8 | 7.5 | 5 | 13.5 |
| 118 | 11 | 8.2 | 1 | 4.5 | 0 | -- | 8 | 8.5 | 2 | 9.1 |
| 119 | 3 | 6.7 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 8.5 | 2 | 5.8 |
| 120C | 3 | 8.4 | 0 | -- | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 5.8 | 1 | 18.6 |
| 120B | 3 | 10.3 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 4.3 | 2 | 13.3 |
| 120A | 5 | 14.4 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 5 | 14.4 |
| 121 | 1 | 4.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 4.1 | 0 | -- |
| CA201 | 2 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| CA202 | 2 | 13.9 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | 10.4 | 1 | 17.4 |
| BF Total | 559 | 6.7 | 255 | 3.4 | 91 | 5.7 | 101 | 8.7 | 112 | 13.2 |
| ESPEC | 107 | 8.6 | 69 | 4.3 | 9 | 13.8 | 7 | 15.8 | 22 | 17.5 |
| FMECH | 1 | 12.4 | 0 | -- | 1 | 12.4 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| FMENC | 2 | 3.1 | 2 | 3.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| FPMED | 115 | 10.3 | 73 | 7.2 | 9 | 13.8 | 19 | 15.0 | 14 | 17.8 |
| FRM/D | 9 | 12.1 | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 9 | 12.1 |
| LIEUT | 59 | 11.4 | 3 | 2.9 | 8 | 5.7 | 8 | 7.3 | 40 | 14.0 |
| IA Total | 293 | 9.9 | 147 | 5.7 | 27 | 11.3 | 34 | 13.4 | 85 | 15.3 |
| Overall Total | 852 | 7.8 | 402 | 4.2 | 118 | 7.0 | 135 | 9.9 | 197 | 14.1 |

EXHIBIT 3G
QUARTILE ANALYSIS (PERCENTAGE OF EMPLOYEES PER PAY GRADE) - BF PAY GRADES


EXHIBIT 3H
QUARTILE ANALYSIS (PERCENTAGE OF EMPLOYEES PER PAY GRADE) - IA PAY GRADES


This analytical tool was helpful in determining whether employees' salaries were adequately disbursed throughout the pay grades, once the length of time that the employees had spent in their current classifications was taken into consideration. In the Grade Placement Analysis, it was observed that a majority of employees had salaries in the lower half of pay ranges. The Quartile Analysis showed that employees' salaries tended to cluster in the first quartile of ranges, as 45.6 percent of salaries in the BF ranges and 50.2 percent of salaries in the IA ranges were in the first quartile of ranges. However, an examination of class tenure revealed that those employees had spent an average of 4.2 years in their current classification, either because they were recently hired by the County or were recently promoted to their classification. Exhibit 3F shows a correlation between the length of time employees had spent in their classification and the grade placement of employees' salaries, with the average class years increasing with increasing quartile. This was evidence that the County's compensation philosophy placed value on the experience and institutional knowledge typically possessed by long-term employees.

### 3.4 EMPLOYEE TENURE AND COUNTY DEPARTMENTS

As of April 2015, the County employed 859 individuals, all of whom were included in this section of the study. The following analyses were intended to provide basic information
regarding how employees were distributed among departments and the tenure of employees, including those employees in classifications on the CL and EO salary tables.

The County's employees were spread among 13 departments. Exhibit 31 depicts the number of classifications that were present in each department, along with the number and overall percentage of total employees by department. As the exhibit illustrates, the largest department in the County was Fire Rescue, with 347 employees, representing 40.4 percent of the County's total workforce, while the Airport was the smallest department, with five employees, representing 0.6 percent of the County's total workforce.

## EXHIBIT 3I EMPLOYEES BY DEPARTMENT

| Department | Classes | Employees | \% of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administration | 32 | 43 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Airport | 5 | 5 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Building | 14 | 28 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Commission | 6 | 10 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Engineering | 54 | 111 | $12.9 \%$ |
| Fire Rescue | 27 | 347 | $40.4 \%$ |
| General Services | 23 | 37 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Growth Management | 12 | 17 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Information Technology Services | 20 | 33 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Legal | 6 | 9 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Library | 17 | 45 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Parks \& Recreation | 27 | 69 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | 45 | 105 | $12.2 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 9}$ |

Evaluating average employee tenure was another valuable tool by which the County's workforce was demographically analyzed because it helped Evergreen Solutions understand the relative experience of the workforce and identify areas that might have been experiencing high turnover. The following analysis was intended to provide basic information regarding the tenure of employees in order to identify potential employee retention issues.

Exhibit 3J shows the average class years and average County tenure of employees that were in classifications assigned to each pay grade. These data showed that average tenure across the County was 11.8 years. The overall average tenure of County employees was 49.4 percent higher than the national median, which, according to recent statistics from the Department of Labor, was 7.9 years for employees working for local governments ${ }^{1}$. The average number of class years of employees in some pay grades, such as grades FRM/D, 119 and 120A, was

[^0]much lower than the average County tenure of employees in those grades. This indicated that employees in classifications in these pay grades had been given the opportunity to move through the organization, which was evidence of an established career ladder that created the potential for upward growth within the organization for those functional areas.

Grades 120A, FRM/D, LIEUT, and 119 each had average County tenure of more than 20 years. The employees in these classifications undoubtedly possessed a wealth of institutional knowledge which if lost without preparation, could leave the County with knowledge gaps that could significantly affect the quality of services provided in the future. It was not surprising that the pay grades identified above as having been assigned to classifications that provided opportunities for career growth were also identified as having many employees with significant average tenure.

Lower than average tenure was also important to evaluate because it can help identify positions with significant turnover or retention issues. Grades CA201, FMENC, and 121 had the shortest average tenure at the County, with average tenures of 1.4 years, 3.7 years, and 4.1 years, respectively. The classifications assigned to these grades were included as benchmark positions in the salary survey to determine if the low average tenure was related to compensation. The results of the salary survey can be found in Chapter 3 of this report.

## EXHIBIT 3J

EMPLOYEE TENURE BY PAY GRADE

| Grade | Employees | Avg Class Years | Avg Tenure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104 | 1 | 16.1 | 17.2 |
| 105 | 38 | 5.5 | 6.9 |
| 106 | 47 | 5.3 | 8.3 |
| 107 | 12 | 5.2 | 10.2 |
| 108 | 90 | 5.8 | 8.7 |
| 109 | 47 | 6.4 | 9.8 |
| 110 | 42 | 7.3 | 11.3 |
| 111 | 79 | 7.1 | 11.8 |
| 112 | 33 | 7.1 | 13.5 |
| 113 | 46 | 7.4 | 14.5 |
| 114 | 29 | 5.0 | 7.0 |
| 115 | 38 | 7.2 | 14.4 |
| 116 | - 9 | 9.3 | 10.7 |
| 117 | 18 | 8.5 | 15.7 |
| 118 | 11 | 8.2 | 12.5 |
| 119 | 3 | 6.7 | 20.8 |
| 120 C | 3 | 8.4 | 14.0 |
| 120B | 3 | 10.3 | 10.3 |
| 120A | 5 | 14.4 | 26.8 |
| 121 | 1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| CA201 | 2 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| CA202 | 2 | 13.9 | 15.5 |
| BF Average |  | 6.7 | 11.0 |
| C | 2 | 3.3 | 15.0 |
| E | 5 | 7.3 | 9.9 |
| CL and EO Average |  | 6.2 | 11.4 |
| ESPEC | 107 | 8.6 | 10.6 |
| FMECH | 1 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
| FMENC | 2 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| FPMED | 115 | 10.3 | 11.0 |
| FRM/D | 9 | 12.1 | 26.6 |
| LIEUT | 59 | 11.4 | 21.5 |
| IA Average |  | 9.9 | 13.4 |
| Overall Average |  | 7.8 | 11.8 |

### 3.5 SUMMARY

Overall, the County's compensation plan had a solid structure on which to grow. The County's current compensation structure consisted of salary tables with established pay grades that were assigned to employees based on their classification. While there were pockets of wage compression in the first quartile of salary ranges, which was mentioned by some employees during focus groups, the employee tenure data indicated that most of the compression was due to employees having been recently hired or promoted. The Quartile Analysis, in particular, showed evidence that County employees who had spent significant time in their classification had been able to progress to the upper end of the salary tables. In general, the County had the potential and was well equipped to take the next steps in becoming a more competitive employment force in their labor market.

Evergreen Solutions conducted a market comparison survey for the County, which is one of the best and most direct methods of determining the relative competitive position of an organization in the market place. This study focused on the salary ranges offered in the market for a sample of benchmarked positions, as well as the benefits packages available at peer organizations. The results of the salary portion of the survey were then used to evaluate overall structure, summarize overall market competitiveness, and capture the current highs and lows of the County's pay plan at the time of the study. This methodology was used to provide an overall analysis and not to evaluate salaries for individual employees. Market comparisons typically do not translate well at the individual level because individual pay can be determined through a combination of factors, including demand for the type of job, performance, prior experience, and, in some cases, an individual's negotiation skills during the hiring process. A combination of factors, one of which was the results of the market salary survey, were used when developing recommended adjustments to the County's compensation structure.

It should be noted that market comparisons should be thought of as a snapshot of current market conditions, as the data were collected at the time of the study and provided the most up-to-date market information. Market conditions can change, and in some cases change quickly. Therefore, market surveys should be conducted at regular intervals if the County wishes to stay competitive with the marketplace.

Evergreen Solutions conducted a comprehensive market salary survey for the County, which included surveying 20 market peers to collect salary information about 60 benchmarked classifications. Of the market peers contacted, 15 provided responses and market-relevant matches were made for 59 of the benchmarks.

When seeking to compare the County to its peers, a number of factors were taken into account, including location and population. Data were collected from the list of 15 market peers in Exhibit 4A. Salary data were adjusted using cost of living index factors at the county level. This calculation allowed salary dollars from entities across the state to be compared in spending power relevant to the County.

## EXHIBIT 4A <br> SALARY SURVEY RESPONDENTS

Broward County<br>Charlotte County<br>City of Boca Raton<br>City of Fort Pierce<br>City of Stuart<br>City of West Palm Beach<br>Collier County<br>Indian River County<br>Martin Health System<br>Palm Beach County<br>Sarasota County<br>Southwest Florida Water Management District<br>St. Johns Water Management District<br>St. Lucie County<br>Town of Jupiter

### 4.1 SALARY SURVEY DATA

Exhibit 4B displays a summary of the peers' salary ranges and the percent differential of the County's current pay grades. The exhibit shows the median, or $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, response for each benchmarked classification's salary range minimum, midpoint, and maximum, and the percent differential between the market value and the County's salary range. A positive differential indicates that the County's salary was above the market value, and a negative indicates the County's salary was below the market value. The County Attorney classification did not have a full pay range established at the County, only a range maximum, and so percentage differentials could not be calculated at range minimum or midpoint. The last column in Exhibit 4B indicates the number of relevant matches made for each benchmarked classification.

# EXHIBIT 4B <br> SALARY SURVEY RESULTS WITH DIFFERENTIALS 

| Classification | Range Minimum |  | Range Midpoint |  | Range Maximum |  | Avg Range Spread | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Resp. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | \% Diff | Median | \% Diff | Median | \% Diff |  |  |
| Administrative Specialist II | \$ 32,699.06 | -12.1\% | \$ 43,202.55 | -13.5\% | \$ 52,764.71 | -12.4\% | 54.4\% | 13 |
| Airport Manager | \$ 61,174.04 | -13.2\% | \$ 77,323.09 | -7.2\% | \$ 93,791.58 | -3.9\% | 57.4\% | 4 |
| Assistant County Administrator | \$ 110,754.60 | -50.0\% | \$ 136,931.00 | -22.0\% | \$ 163,107.40 | -8.3\% | 61.8\% | 9 |
| Assistant County Attorney | \$ 77,591.24 | -2.1\% | \$ 99,664.56 | -14.3\% | \$ 126,769.39 | -28.8\% | 64.9\% | 6 |
| Battalion Chief | \$ 68,852.57 | -2.9\% | \$ 84,546.20 | 6.7\% | \$ 101,455.04 | 11.3\% | 19.8\% | 6 |
| Building Official/Director | \$ 71,563.59 | 10.5\% | \$ 92,772.93 | 10.8\% | \$ 114,157.95 | 10.8\% | 55.7\% | 11 |
| Chief Information Officer | \$ 87,330.86 | -0.4\% | \$ 112,479.69 | 0.7\% | \$ 133,436.78 | 4.3\% | 61.9\% | 10 |
| Code Compliance Investigat | \$ 37,020.27 | -2.5\% | \$ 48,335.35 | -1.5\% | \$ 59,561.96 | -0.7\% | 63.7\% | 10 |
| Communications/Outreach Coordinator | \$ 57,409.99 | -24.8\% | \$ 75,455.69 | -24.4\% | \$ 91,857.02 | -21.9\% | 56.4\% | 8 |
| Community Development Director | \$ 89,964.43 | -21.6\% | \$ 113,372.19 | -16.9\% | \$ 140,044.35 | -16.7\% | 59.0\% | 9 |
| Construction Inspect | \$ 45,692.76 | -7.7\% | \$ 58,359.31 | -4.3\% | \$ 70,854.05 | -1.9\% | 54.6\% | 10 |
| County Attorney | \$ 110,587.31 | 0.0\% | \$ 143,763.50 | 0.0\% | \$ 176,939.69 | 0.0\% | 53.8\% | 7 |
| County Surveyor | \$ 60,927.06 | -4.0\% | \$ 79,551.95 | -2.9\% | \$ 97,584.13 | -1.7\% | 58.0\% | 8 |
| Customer Service Representative | \$ 29,999.11 | -17.6\% | \$ 38,383.27 | -15.4\% | \$ 47,388.48 | -15.5\% | 56.8\% | 10 |
| Data Input Clerk | \$ 27,726.41 | -24.1\% | \$ 35,283.23 | -21.0\% | \$ 42,850.55 | -19.2\% | 57.6\% | 7 |
| Division Chief | \$ 74,570.64 | -17.8\% | \$ 93,213.30 | 3.1\% | \$ 111,855.96 | 13.4\% | 52.2\% | 5 |
| Emergency Medical Dis | \$ 34,352.32 | -3.1\% | \$ 42,687.48 | 2.8\% | \$ 51,022.63 | 6.5\% | 54.6\% | 7 |
| EMT/Ocean Lifeguard | \$ 31,263.40 | -7.2\% | \$ 39,502.43 | -3.8\% | \$ 48,382.13 | -3.1\% | 60.2\% | 5 |
| Engineering Director | \$ 85,957.34 | 1.2\% | \$ 111,954.57 | 1.1\% | \$ 137,662.96 | 1.3\% | 61.8\% | 10 |
| Environmental Specialist | \$ 44,316.56 | -13.2\% | \$ 55,959.67 | -8.4\% | \$ 67,602.77 | -5.5\% | 50.0\% | 8 |
| Equipment Operator I | \$ 28,610.70 | -20.0\% | \$ 37,005.15 | -18.9\% | \$ 45,583.08 | -18.7\% | 58.7\% | 11 |
| Equipment Operator III | \$ 31,730.42 | -8.8\% | \$ 41,150.26 | -8.1\% | \$ 50,674.98 | -8.0\% | 59.8\% | 9 |
| Financial Analyst | \$ 47,289.78 | -20.8\% | \$ 62,291.28 | -20.7\% | \$ 75,630.66 | -18.0\% | 56.8\% | 9 |
| Fire Mechanic | \$ | 0.0\% | \$ | 0.0\% | \$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Fire Mechanic - Non Combat | \$ 38,843.67 | 9.9\% | \$ 52,679.51 | 9.8\% | \$ 66,515.34 | 9.8\% | 56.1\% | 5 |
| Fire Rescue Chief | \$ 89,964.43 | -3.4\% | \$ 120,079.52 | -6.0\% | \$ 149,028.50 | -6.8\% | 52.9\% | 5 |
| Firefighter EMT | \$ 40,315.05 | 1.6\% | \$ 52,142.66 | 10.8\% | \$ 63,970.28 | 13.3\% | 52.7\% | 4 |
| Firefighter Paramedic | \$ 50,376.77 | -6.2\% | \$ 62,404.81 | 7.7\% | \$ 76,587.12 | 10.3\% | 52.5\% | 7 |
| GIS Specialist | \$ 43,861.08 | -21.5\% | \$ 57,485.11 | -20.7\% | \$ 70,858.12 | -19.8\% | 56.2\% | 10 |
| Grants Compliance/Budget Manager | \$ 63,585.02 | -3.4\% | \$ 82,419.00 | 4.2\% | \$ 100,264.55 | 9.3\% | 58.0\% | 9 |
| Health \& Human Services Manager | \$ 58,344.28 | -7.9\% | \$ 73,720.26 | -2.2\% | \$ 89,096.23 | 1.3\% | 57.9\% | 4 |
| Housing Program Coordinator | \$ 48,778.29 | -14.9\% | \$ 65,002.42 | -16.1\% | \$ 80,503.82 | -15.8\% | 60.5\% | 8 |
| Human Resources Administrator | \$ 82,609.89 | -34.3\% | \$ 109,351.03 | -27.2\% | \$ 132,104.95 | -19.6\% | 61.5\% | 12 |
| Human Resources Analyst | \$ 48,005.54 | -4.4\% | \$ 61,342.91 | -1.1\% | \$ 73,611.59 | 2.3\% | 56.7\% | 10 |
| HVAC Technician | \$ 38,537.14 | -23.6\% | \$ 48,601.58 | -19.2\% | \$ 59,229.57 | -17.7\% | 58.1\% | 8 |
| Industrial Electrician | \$ 38,549.56 | -6.7\% | \$ 48,174.69 | -1.2\% | \$ 57,927.98 | 2.0\% | 54.8\% | 9 |
| Intergovernmental Relations \& | \$ 54,406.56 | -9.1\% | \$ 67,758.80 | -4.9\% | \$ 81,111.03 | -2.3\% | 54.5\% | 6 |

## EXHIBIT 4B (CONTINUED) SALARY SURVEY RESULTS WITH DIFFERENTIALS

| Classification | Range Minimum |  | Range Midpoint |  | Range Maximum |  | Avg Range Spread |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | \% Diff | Median | \% Diff | Median | \% Diff |  |  |
| ITS Help Desk Representative | \$ 39,504.96 | -35.4\% | \$ 49,833.37 | -31.0\% | \$ 60,161.78 | -28.2\% | 52.6\% | 8 |
| Legal Secretary - Litigation | \$ 37,088.20 | -11.3\% | \$ 46,360.25 | -5.5\% | \$ 56,294.18 | -3.2\% | 56.7\% | 7 |
| Librarian I | \$ 43,164.44 | -19.5\% | \$ 54,488.87 | -14.4\% | \$ 65,189.95 | -10.2\% | 56.7\% | 7 |
| Library Branch Manager | \$ 52,995.90 | -35.4\% | \$ 66,244.62 | -28.3\% | \$ 79,493.35 | -24.0\% | 54.8\% | 5 |
| Library Director | \$ 78,973.71 | -6.7\% | \$ 102,039.71 | -5.2\% | \$ 123,002.87 | -2.5\% | 60.6\% | 8 |
| Library Specialist | \$ 28,483.52 | -11.7\% | \$ 38,324.56 | -15.2\% | \$ 47,723.21 | -16.3\% | 58.8\% | 7 |
| Lieutenant | \$ 60,435.12 | -9.8\% | \$ 71,648.74 | 3.9\% | \$ 85,321.00 | 9.3\% | 43.9\% | 7 |
| Maintenance Worker III | \$ 28,925.92 | -13.4\% | \$ 37,580.45 | -13.0\% | \$ 45,676.80 | -11.3\% | 56.1\% | 12 |
| Network Administrator | \$ 52,573.09 | -23.9\% | \$ 68,284.58 | -22.0\% | \$ 82,899.31 | -19.3\% | 57.5\% | 12 |
| Parks \& Recreation Director | \$ 92,347.64 | -15.4\% | \$ 120,079.52 | -15.5\% | \$ 150,194.60 | -17.3\% | 60.0\% | 7 |
| Parks Services Specialist II | \$ 25,051.03 | -5.1\% | \$ 33,954.18 | -9.1\% | \$ 42,306.17 | -10.1\% | 61.6\% | 6 |
| Principal Planner | \$ 55,644.74 | -11.6\% | \$ 69,589.75 | -7.8\% | \$ 86,992.67 | -9.7\% | 56.5\% | 11 |
| Procurement Specialist | \$ 41,714.54 | -15.5\% | \$ 54,184.60 | -13.8\% | \$ 64,865.24 | -9.7\% | 54.7\% | 10 |
| Project Engineer | \$ 63,459.57 | -8.3\% | \$ 81,915.71 | -6.0\% | \$ 98,664.51 | -2.8\% | 58.6\% | 10 |
| Purchasing Manager | \$ 64,186.18 | -9.5\% | \$ 84,178.09 | -8.9\% | \$ 104,170.00 | -8.5\% | 61.3\% | 10 |
| Reception \& Information Specialist | \$ 31,281.72 | 6.1\% | \$ 39,502.43 | 10.1\% | \$ 48,160.48 | 11.7\% | 52.5\% | 7 |
| System Administrator II | \$ 54,703.75 | -9.7\% | \$ 69,555.68 | -7.7\% | \$ 84,800.49 | -7.0\% | 47.3\% | 11 |
| Tourism \& Marketing Manager | \$ 59,389.52 | -9.9\% | \$ 76,374.70 | -5.9\% | \$ 92,750.15 | -2.8\% | 56.0\% | 4 |
| Traffic Operations Technician | \$ 31,263.40 | -14.6\% | \$ 40,538.65 | -13.9\% | \$ 49,813.89 | -13.5\% | 63.0\% | 7 |
| Treatment Plant Operator I | \$ 35,510.67 | -13.8\% | \$ 45,187.39 | -10.8\% | \$ 54,864.11 | -9.0\% | 54.6\% | 9 |
| Urban Designer | \$ 48,806.36 | -6.1\% | \$ 60,879.04 | -0.3\% | \$ 75,744.86 | -0.5\% | 58.1\% | 6 |
| Utilities Service Worker I | \$ 28,434.32 | -11.5\% | \$ 36,182.78 | -8.8\% | \$ 44,258.78 | -7.9\% | 58.5\% | 7 |
| Veterans Services Officer I | \$ 42,603.72 | -36.6\% | \$ 50,653.70 | -24.3\% | \$ 61,331.22 | -21.8\% | 41.6\% | 5 |
| Overall Average |  | -11.9\% |  | -8.3\% |  | -6.4\% | 55.1\% | 7.9 |

### 4.2 SALARY SURVEY RESULTS

## Range Minimums

As Exhibit 4B illustrates, at the minimum of the respective salary ranges, the County was an average of 11.9 percent below the market median across all surveyed job titles. While some classifications were closer to market at the minimum, others exhibited a greater difference from market values. Market minimums are typically considered entry-level salary points, either entry into the organization or entry into a next level of classification. Therefore, it is important for an organization to be competitive at range minimums in order to recruit quality employees.

Based on the data gathered at the surveyed market minimum for these benchmark positions, the following observations were made:

- The surveyed position differentials ranged from a low of 50 percent below market minimum in the case of the Assistant County Administrator classification to a high of 10.5 percent above market for the Building Official/Director classification.
- Of the 58 County positions with differentials at range minimum, 53 reported to be below market at the minimum. These 53 below-market classifications were an average of 14 percent below market at minimum.
- A total of 13 surveyed positions indicated market differentials at the pay range minimum that were greater than 20 percent below market. These classifications averaged 28.6 percent below the market.


## Range Midpoints

Market midpoint is important to consider because it is a common standard used to determine the market value of full competence in a classification, and remaining competitive at range midpoints can help an organization to avoid turnover of experienced employees. As Exhibit 4B indicates, the County was an average of 8.3 percent below market at range midpoints.

Based on the data gathered at the market midpoint of the salary range, the following could be determined:

- At the market midpoint, the benchmark positions ranged from a low of 31 percent below market in the case of the ITS Help Desk Representative classification to a high of 10.8 percent above market midpoint for the Firefighter EMT and Building Official/Director classification.
- 46 of the surveyed classifications were found to be below market at the midpoint, which represented 76.7 percent of benchmarks with midpoint differentials. These 46 classifications were an average of 12.4 percent below market at the midpoint.
- A total of three surveyed positions indicated market differentials at the pay range midpoint that were greater than 10 percent above the market. These are listed below with their market differentials:
- Firefighter EMT, 10.8 percent above market;
- Building Official/Director, 10.8 percent above market; and
- Reception and Information Specialist, 10.1 percent above market.
- 12 surveyed classifications were above market at the midpoint, and they averaged six percent above market.


## Range Maximums

Salary range maximum values as they compared to the survey respondents were also illustrated in Exhibit 4B. Being competitive at range maximum is essential if an organization desires to retain highly experienced employees. The County was, on average, 6.4 percent below market at the maximum of its pay ranges for the benchmarked positions.

The comparison of market maximums yielded the following considerations:

- At the market maximum, the benchmarked positions ranged from a low of 28.8 percent below market in the case of the Assistant County Attorney classification to a high of 13.4 percent above market for the Division Chief classification.
- Of the positions surveyed, 43 were below market maximum which represents 71.7 percent of all benchmarked positions. These 43 below-market classifications were an average of 11.7 percent below market at maximum.
- Of the surveyed positions, five had range maximums with a differential of 20 percent or more below market. They are listed below with their market differentials:
- Assistant County Attorney, 28.8 percent below market;
- ITS Help Desk Representative, 28.2 percent below market;
- Library Branch Manager, 24 percent below market;
- Communications/Outreach Coordinator, 21.9 percent below market;
- Veterans Services Officer I, 21.8 percent below market.


## Fire Rescue Department

Exhibit 4C shows for target fire departments, the median response for each benchmarked classification's salary range minimum, midpoint, and maximum, and the percent differential between the market value and the County's salary range. A positive differential again indicates the County's salary that was above the market value, whereas a negative value indicates the County's salary was below the market value.

Utilizing the data from Exhibit 4C, we can make the following observations:

- The benchmarked positions ranged from a low of 17.8 percent below market for range minimums of the Division Chief classification up to 13.4 percent above market in range maximums for the same position.
- While there are eight classifications below their market salary ranges at the range minimum, only three of these classifications remain below market ranges at their respective midpoints and maximums. These consistently below market classifications are:
- Administrative Specialist,
o -12.1\% below market minimum,
o -13.5 below market midpoint
o - 12.4 below market maximum;
- EMT/Ocean Lifeguard,
o -7.2\% below market minimum,
o -3.8\% below market midpoint,
o -3.1\% below market maximum;
- Fire Rescue Chief,
o -3.4\% below market minimum,
o -6\% below market midpoint,
o -6.8\% below market maximum


## EXHIBIT 4C <br> SALARY SURVEY RESULTS WITH DIFFERENTIALS

| Classification | Range Minimum |  | Range Midpoint |  | Range Maximum |  | Avg Range Spread | \# Resp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | \% Diff | Median | \% Diff | Median | \% Diff |  |  |
| Administrative Specialist II | \$32,699.06 | -12.1\% | \$43,202.55 | -13.5\% | \$52,764.71 | -12.4\% | 54.4\% | 13 |
| Battalion Chief | \$68,852.57 | -2.9\% | \$84,546.20 | 6.7\% | \$101,455.04 | 11.3\% | 19.8\% | 6 |
| Division Chief | \$74,570.64 | -17.8\% | \$93,213.30 | 3.1\% | \$111,855.96 | 13.4\% | 52.2\% | 5 |
| Emergency Medical Dispatcher | \$34,352.32 | -3.1\% | \$42,687.48 | 2.8\% | \$51,022.63 | 6.5\% | 54.6\% | 7 |
| EMT/Ocean Lifeguard | \$31,263.40 | -7.2\% | \$39,502.43 | -3.8\% | \$48,382.13 | -3.1\% | 60.2\% | 5 |
| Fire Mechanic |  | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Fire Mechanic - Non Combat | \$38,843.67 | 9.9\% | \$52,679.51 | 9.8\% | \$66,515.34 | 9.8\% | 56.1\% | 5 |
| Fire Rescue Chief | \$89,964.43 | -3.4\% | \$120,079.52 | -6.0\% | \$149,028.50 | -6.8\% | 52.9\% | 5 |
| Firefighter EMT | \$40,315.05 | 1.6\% | \$52,142.66 | 10.8\% | \$63,970.28 | 13.3\% | 52.7\% | 4 |
| Firefighter Paramedic | \$50,376.77 | -6.2\% | \$62,404.81 | 7.7\% | \$76,587.12 | 10.3\% | 52.5\% | 7 |
| Lieutenant | \$60,435.12 | -9.8\% | \$71,648.74 | 3.9\% | \$85,321.00 | 9.3\% | 43.9\% | 7 |
| Overall Average |  | -4.6\% |  | 2.0\% |  | 4.7\% | 45.4\% | 5.8 |

### 4.3 SALARY SURVEY CONCLUSIONS

It should be noted that the standing of a classification's pay range compared to the market was not a definitive assessment of the individual employee's salary being equally above or below market. It does, however, speak to the County's ability to recruit and retain talent over time. For example, if starting pay was significantly lower than the market would offer, the County may find itself losing out to their market peers when they seek to fill a position. Additionally, if midpoint or maximum pay was significantly lower than the market, experienced employees may leave for other opportunities.

From the analysis of the data gathered in the external labor market assessment, the County's pay grades were not competitive with the median ranges offered in the market. The average differentials from market median across all benchmarked classifications were:

- 11.9 percent below the market at range minimums,
- 8.3 percent below the market at range midpoints, and
- 6.4 percent below the market at range maximums.

The average range spread at the County (65 percent) for benchmarked classifications was 9.9 percentage points wider than the market average ( 55.1 percent) across the benchmark classifications. This contributed to the County being further below market at range minimums than at midpoints and maximums, on average across benchmarked classifications.

Information gained from the market survey was used, in conjunction with stakeholder and employee feedback and current environmental factors such as the budget, to develop recommendations to keep the County in a strong position to grow and stay competitive in
the market. Discussion of recommended changes to the County's compensation structure can be found in Chapter 5 of this report.

### 4.4 BENEFITS SURVEY RESULTS

In addition to a salary survey, Evergreen Solutions conducted a benefits survey at peer organizations. The benefits analysis, much like the salary evaluation, represented a snapshot in time of what was available in benefits packages at peer organizations. It is important to realize that there are intricacies involved with benefits programs that are not captured by a market survey alone. This information should be used as a cursory overview and not a line-by-line comparison since benefits are typically weighted differently depending on the importance to an organization. It should also be noted that benefits are usually negotiated and acquired through third parties, so one-to-one comparisons can be difficult. The analysis in this section highlighted aspects of the benefits survey that provided pertinent information.

Full or partial data was collected from the eight peer organizations shown in Exhibit 4D, which represents 53.3 percent of the peers who responded to the salary survey. It is common for fewer peers to respond to benefits surveys than salary surveys because of the time commitment required to provide full information about benefits packages.

EXHIBIT 4D
BENEFITS SURVEY RESPONDENTS


## General Benefits

Benefits as a percentage of total compensation is a common indicator that organizations use to assess how generous benefits are at individual organizations. This indicator is calculated by dividing the amount an organization spends on benefits by the amount spent on total compensation. Total compensation refers to the total dollar amount employees receive from their organization, generally calculated as the amount spent on salaries plus the amount spent on all discretionary benefits.

As Exhibit 4E shows, the market average for benefits as a percentage of total compensation was approximately 25.1 percent. Benefits as a part of total compensation values over 30.0 percent are typically considered generous. It was not uncommon for this number to range widely at different organizations depending on differences in compensation philosophies
and the relative cost of health benefits. The benefits as percentage of total compensation for the County was 29.7 percent for general employees and 32.6 percent for Fire Rescue employees, which were both above the peer average.

EXHIBIT 4E
BENEFITS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL COMPENSATION

|  | Peer Average | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Benefits as a percentage of total <br> compensation | $25.1 \%$ | General: $29.7 \%$ <br> Fire Rescue: $32.6 \%$ |

## Health Plans

Exhibit 4F shows that the average number of health plans offered by peer organizations was 2.3. The County offered one plan, which was a PPO.

## EXHIBIT 4F <br> NUMBER OF HEALTH PLANS



As displayed in Exhibit 4G, 12.5 percent of responding peers offered at least one type of Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) plan, 62.5 percent offered at least one Preferred Provider Organization (PPO) plan, 50.0 percent offered a Health Savings Account (HSA), and 44.4 percent offered some other type of health plan including Consumer-Driven Health (CDH), High Deductible Health Plan (HDHP) and Point of Service (POS) plans. The County offered one PPO plan, which was the most commonly offered plan by peers. The County's PPO plan also included a Health Reimbursement Account (HRA) that allowed employees to be reimbursed for qualifying medical expenses.

EXHIBIT 4G
TYPE OF HEALTH PLANS

|  | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| HMO Health Plan offered? | $12.5 \%$ | NO |
| PPO Health Plan offered? | $62.5 \%$ | YES |
| Health Savings Account offered? | $50.0 \%$ | NO |
| Other type of Health Plan offered? | $44.4 \%$ | NO |

Exhibit 4H displays the peer average percentage of insurance premiums paid by employers and the average deductibles for HMO, PPO, and HSA plans. The average percentages paid by employer for HMO, PPO, and HSA insurance plans individual premiums were 70.0
percent, 87.7 percent, and 95.0 percent, respectively. The County paid 75.0 percent of the entire cost of the premium for individuals and 75.0 percent of the premium for employee plus family, but did not offer a tier for employee plus one dependent. The County paid a smaller percentage of the premium for individual coverage than the average at peers with a PPO, but paid a higher percentage than the average for employee plus family coverage.

Exhibit 4H also displays the average annual deductible for individuals, employees plus one and employee plus family among peer respondents. The average dollar amount is displayed separately for PPO, HMO, and HSA plans. The only target organization that offered an HMO plan did not have a deductible for individuals or dependents. The County's PPO plan also did not have deductibles.

## EXHIBIT 4H

HEALTH PLAN PREMIUMS AND DEDUCTIBLES

|  | Peer HMO Average | Peer PPO Average | Peer HSA Average | Martin County PPO |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage paid by employer for <br> employee-only coverage | $70.0 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |  |
| Percentage paid by employee <br> for employer plus one | $67.0 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | N/A |
| Percentage paid by employer for <br> employee plus family | $67.0 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Deductible - Employee Only | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 1,121.43$ | $\$ 1,866.67$ | Varies according to network |
| Deductible - Employee Plus One | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 1,740.00$ | $\$ 3,733.33$ |  |
| Deductible - Employee Plus <br> Family | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 2,792.86$ | $\$ 3,733.33$ | Varies according to network |

Exhibit 4I provides information about employee health clinics and employee wellness programs. The data showed that 37.5 percent of responding peers offered employee health clinic coverage to their employees, and 87.5 percent offered an Employee Wellness Program employees. Wellness programs included incentives for quitting smoking, employee health screenings, fitness classes and wellness seminars, and incentives for completing annual physicals. The County offered an employee health clinic. While the County did not offer a full Employee Wellness Program, they did provide employees with annual health screenings.

EXHIBIT 41
HEALTH CLINIC AND WELLNESS COVERAGE

|  | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Employee Health Clinic offered? | $37.5 \%$ | YES |
| Employee Wellness Program offered? | $87.5 \%$ | NO |

## Other Benefits Offerings

Exhibit 4J displays the percentage of responding peers who offer dental, vision, short-term disability and long-term disability insurance plans and displayed whether the County offered these types of benefits. Dental plans were offered to employees at 100.0 percent of the County's peers, and vision plans were offered by 75.0 percent of the County's peers. Shortterm disability insurance and long-term disability were both offered by 87.5 percent of responding peers. The County's PPO health plan included dental coverage, and the County offered vision, short-term disability and long-term disability insurance at the employee's expense.

EXHIBIT 4J
DENTAL AND DISABILITY INSURANCE

|  | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Dental Insurance offered? | $100.0 \%$ | YES <br> Included in Health Plan |
| Vision Plan offered? | $75.0 \%$ | YES <br> Employee-Paid |
| Short-Term Disability offered? | $87.5 \%$ | YES <br> Employee-Paid |
| Long-Term Disability offered? | $87.5 \%$ | YES <br> Employee-Paid |

Exhibit 4K and 4L provide summary information about peers' dental, vision, short-term disability and long-term disability insurance. The average percentage paid by the employer for dental and vision was 42.7 percent and 25.0 percent, respectively. The County included dental insurance in its PPO plan, so monthly cost comparisons could not be determined.

## EXHIBIT 4K <br> COST SHARING DENTAL AND VISION

|  |  | Percentage Paid by <br> Employer | Monthly Cost to <br> Employerfor <br> Individual | Monthly Cost to <br> Employee for <br> Individual | Monthly Cost to <br> Employer for <br> Family | Monthly Cost to <br> Employee for <br> Family |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dental Insurance | Peer Average | $42.7 \%$ | $\$ 58.91$ | $\$ 17.80$ | $\$ 120.56$ | \$29.06 |
|  | Martin County | Included in Medical | N/A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Vision Plan | Peer Average | $25.0 \%$ | $\$ 1.74$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 3.13$ | $\$ 10.82$ |
|  | Martin County | $0.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\$ 7.50$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\$ 20.52$ |

On average, peers paid 50.0 percent of the costs for short-term disability and 66.7 percent of costs for long-term disability. The peer average percentage received by the employee for short-term disability was 58.0 percent of salary. The peer average percentage received by the employee for long-term disability was 57.1 percent of salary.

## EXHIBIT 4L DISABILITY INSURANCE DETAILS

|  |  | Percentage Paid by <br> Employer | Percentage of Salary <br> Received |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Short-Term Disability | Peer Average | $50.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ |
|  | Martin County | $0.0 \%$ | Depends on selected <br> plan |
|  | Peer Average | $66.7 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ |
|  | Martin County | $0.0 \%$ | $60 \%$, up to $\$ 5,000$ per <br> month |

Exhibit 4M summarizes the offering of Employee Assistance Programs (EAP) and tuition reimbursement amongst peers and at the County. EAP was offered by all responding peers and was also available to employees at the County. For peers who offered EAP to employees, an average of 5.4 visits were offered annually. The County's EAP consisted of six annual visits, which was slightly higher than the peer average. Tuition reimbursement was offered by 75.0 percent of responding peers and was also offered by the County. For some peers tuition was covered up to 6 credit hours per semester or if education was job-related with an average annual amount of $\$ 3,500$. The County offered tuition reimbursement up to an annual maximum of $\$ 2,400$, and the amount of the reimbursement depended on the final grade received in the class.

EAP AND TUITION REIMBURSEMENT

|  | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Peer Average | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is an EAP offered? | $100.0 \%$ | - | YES |
| Number of Annual EAP Visits Provided | - | 5.4 | 6 |
| Is Tuition Reimbursement offered? | $75.0 \%$ | - | YES |
| Tuition Reimbursement Limit | - | $\$ 3,500.00$ | Maximum annual <br> reimbursement of \$2,400; <br> Reimbursement amount <br> depends on final grade in <br> course |

## Retirement

Exhibit 4N provides summary information about peers' and the County's retirement options. The normal retirement age was 61.1 years on average and 56.6 years on average for early retirement definitions for market peers. The County participated in the Florida Retirement System (FRS), and so the County's definition was 62 years if an employee was hired prior to $7 / 1 / 11$ and 65 years if an employee was hired after $7 / 1 / 11$. Peer respondents' years to fully vest in retirement was 5.0 years on average and the County's years to fully vest in retirement was 6 years prior to $7 / 1 / 11$ and 8 years after $7 / 1 / 11$. The market average COLA
percentage offered to pensions was 2.4 percent. The County's COLA to pensions was subject to the FRS's rules, which had 3.0 percent COLAs prior to $7 / 1 / 11$ and no COLA after $7 / 1 / 11$. The average employee percentage of contribution required was 3.7 percent, and the average employer match percentage of contribution was 8.0 percent. The County's percentage contribution varied annually because employer contributions into the FRS were determined actuarially by the state each year.

EXHIBIT 4N RETIREMENT

|  | Peer Average | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Definition of Normal Retirement | 61.1 | Prior to 7/1/11: 62 <br> After 7/1/11: 65 |
| Definition of Early Retirement | 56.6 | FRS early retirement <br> rules |
| Years to Fully Vest | 5.0 | Prior to 7/1/11: 6 <br> After 7/1/11: 8 |
| COLA Offered to Retiree Pensions | $2.4 \%$ | Prior to 7/1/11: 3\% <br> After 7/1/11: 0\% |
| Employee's \% Contribution Required | $3.7 \%$ | 3\% |
| Employer's \% Contribution Matched | $8.0 \%$ | Varies annually based <br> on State requirements |

Exhibit 40 displays the participation rate in the FRS of peer organizations and the County. Only 51.7 percent of responding peers participated in the FRS, and they all provided additional retirement options. The County participated in the FRS and offered an additional, optional retirement plan to employees.

## EXHIBIT 40 RETIREMENT OPTIONS

|  | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does the organization participate in the <br> Florida Retirement System (FRS)? | $57.1 \%$ | YES |
| Is a retirement option other than a state <br> plan offered? | $100.0 \%$ | YES |
| Is a 401k, 401a, 403(b), or 457 offered? | $100.0 \%$ | YES |
| Is a type of plan other than a 401k, 401a, <br> 403(b) or 457 offered? | $12.5 \%$ | NO |
| Does the employer contribute to any of <br> these non-state retirement options? | $25.0 \%$ | NO |
| Does the organization's retirement plan <br> offer a disability provision? | $60.0 \%$ | YES |

## Life Insurance

Exhibit 4P summarizes the life insurance offerings of responding peers and at the County. All peers who responded offered an employer-paid life insurance plan to employees. The cost of the County's life insurance option was split between the employee and the County, with the County paying $\$ 4.26$ per month for employee coverage. The death benefit amount averaged $\$ 22,500$. Five, or 62.5 percent, of respondents indicated that the dollar amount of death benefit depends on the employee's salary; the death benefit for these respondents' retirement plans was up to 200.0 percent of the employee's annual salary. Employee-paid life insurance benefit amounts at the County ranged from \$25,000 to \$100,000.

EXHIBIT 4P
LIFE INSURANCE

|  | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Peer Average | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is employer-paid life insurance <br> offered? | $100.0 \%$ | - | Cost shared <br> between County <br> and employee |
| Cost (monthly) to employer for <br> individual coverage | - | $\$ 8.13$ | $\$ 4.26$ |
| Cost (monthly) to employer for <br> dependent coverage | - | $\$ 0.00$ | Depends on age <br> of employee |
| Dollar amount of death benefit | - | $\$ 22,500.00$ | $\$ 25,000-$ <br> $\$ 100,000$ |
| Is accidental death insurance <br> provided? | $100.0 \%$ | - | YES |

## Employee Leave and Holidays

Exhibit 4Q provides a summary of respondents' leave accrual rates, and Exhibits 4R through 4T summarize respondents' policies regarding leave time payout. At the County, the type of leave accrued varied by employee type.

## EXHIBIT 4Q <br> LEAVE TIME ACCRUAL

|  | Organization | Offered? | Minimum Accrual Rate (Monthly) | Maximum Accrual Rate (Monthly) | Years to Achieve Maximum Accrual Rate | Maximum Allowed to Roll Over to Following Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal Leave | Peer Percentage Yes/Average | 50.0\% | 0.8 Hours | 4.8 Hours | N/A | 0 Hours |
|  | Martin County | NO | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Sick Leave | Peer Percentage Yes/Average | 50.0\% | 6.6 Hours | 8.2 Hours | N/A | 720 Hours |
|  | Martin County | YES <br> IAFF Employees only | 12 Hours | 12 Hours | Flat rate | 2000 Hours |
| Annual/Vacation Leave | Peer Percentage Yes/Average | 62.5\% | 8.4 Hours | 15.3 Hours | 14.8 | 440 Hours |
|  | Martin County | YES <br> IAFF Employees only | 12 Hours | 25 Hours | 10.0 | 300 Hours |
| Paid Time Off (PTO) | Peer Percentage Yes/Average | 25.0\% | 10.9 Hours | 19.5 Hours | 15.0 | N/A |
|  | Martin County | YES <br> Teamsters and HRM Employees only | 10 Hours | 20 Hours | 16.0 | 400 Hours |

EXHIBIT 4R
SICK LEAVE PAYOUT

|  | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Peer Average | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is unused sick leave paid out <br> upon voluntary separation? | $62.5 \%$ | - | YES <br> (IAFF Only) |
| Max hours of sick leave paid out <br> upon voluntary separation | - | 510.0 | 360 |
| Is unused sick leave paid out <br> upon involuntary separation? | $62.5 \%$ | - | YES <br> (IAFF Only) |
| Max hours of sick leave paid out <br> upon involuntary separation | - | 440.0 | 360 |
| Can unused sick leave count <br> towards retirement? | $12.5 \%$ | - | NO |
| Max hours of sick leave that can <br> count towards retirement | - | 720.0 | N/A |

## EXHIBIT 4S <br> ANNUAL/VACATION LEAVE PAYOUT

|  | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Peer Average | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is unused annual/vacation leave <br> paid out upon voluntary <br> separation? | $62.5 \%$ | - | YES <br> (IAFF Only) |
| Max hours of annual/vacation <br> leave paid out upon voluntary | - | 400.0 | 240 |
| Is unused annual/vacation leave <br> paid out upon involuntary <br> separation? | $50.0 \%$ | - | YES <br> (IAFF Only) |
| Max hours of annual/vacation <br> leave paid out upon involuntary | - | 480.0 | 240 |

EXHIBIT 4T PTO PAYOUT

|  | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Peer Average | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is unused PTO leave paid out upon <br> voluntary separation? | $25.0 \%$ | - | YES |
| Max hours of PTO paid out upon <br> voluntary separation | - | 248.0 | 400 |
| Is unused PTO paid out upon involuntary <br> separation? | $12.5 \%$ | - | YES |
| Max hours of PTO paid out upon <br> involuntary separation | - | N/A | 400 |

Sick Leave was paid out upon voluntary and involuntary separation in 62.5 percent of responding peer organizations. The average maximum sick leave hours paid for voluntary separation was 510.0 hours and 440.0 hours for involuntary separation. At the County, sick leave was paid out upon voluntary and involuntary separation up to 360.0 hours. Unused sick leave could be counted towards retirement by 12.5 percent of respondents and the County did not count unused sick leave towards retirement.

Annual/Vacation Leave was paid out 62.5 percent upon voluntary separation and 50.0 percent upon involuntary separation by peer organizations, with an average of 400.0 maximum hours paid out for voluntary separation and 480.0 hours for involuntary separation. The County paid annual/vacation leave with no maximum upon voluntary and involuntary separation.

PTO was paid out upon voluntary separation at 25.0 percent of peers and upon involuntary separation by 12.5 percent of peers, with an average of 248.0 maximum hours paid out for voluntary separation. The County paid PTO leave upon voluntary and involuntary separation up to 400.0 hours.

The percentage of peers offering various holidays and the holidays at the County are shown in Exhibit 4U. All peers offered paid holidays for New Year's Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas Day. On average, peer respondents offered 9.8 holidays to employees and the County offered 12 paid holidays, including the same holidays as most of the peers plus two personal holidays.

## EXHIBIT 4U HOLIDAYS

| Holiday | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| New Year's Day | $100.0 \%$ | YES |
| Martin Luther King, Jr. Day | $87.5 \%$ | YES |
| President's Day/Washington's Birthday | $62.5 \%$ | NO |
| Memorial Day | $87.5 \%$ | YES |
| Independence Day | $87.5 \%$ | YES |
| Labor Day | $87.5 \%$ | YES |
| Veteran's Day | $87.5 \%$ | YES |
| Thanksgiving Day | $100.0 \%$ | YES |
| Day After Thanksgiving | $87.5 \%$ | YES |
| Christmas Eve | $50.0 \%$ | YES |
| Christmas Day | $100.0 \%$ | YES |
| Day After Christmas | $0.0 \%$ | NO |
| New Year's Eve | $0.0 \%$ | NO |
| Employee's Birthday | $25.0 \%$ | NO |
| Columbus Day | $12.5 \%$ | NO |

Exhibit 4V shows the holiday pay rate for the target organizations. Almost three quarters (71.4 percent) of the County's peers offered a holiday pay rate of straight time and 28.6 percent offered a holiday pay rate of time and a half. The County had a holiday pay rate of time and a half. In addition to the holidays listed above, the County offers two personal days.

> EXHIBIT 4V
> HOLIDAY RATES

| Holiday | Peer <br> Percentage Yes | Peer Average | Martin County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of holidays observed | - | 9.8 | 12 |
| Holiday Pay Rate - Straight | $71.4 \%$ | - | NO |
| Holiday Pay Rate - Time and a Half | $28.6 \%$ | - | YES |

The County was at market with respect to the benefits portion of total compensation. Although the County only offers one PPO health plan, the percentage of premiums paid for individuals and family coverage was comparable to those of other organizations. Annual/Vacation Leave accrual rates were slightly higher than surveyed peers. Sick leave maximum accrual was slightly lower than market peer organizations. More paid holidays were offered at the County than at peer organizations. Overall, the County's benefits package was typical of the market and well received by the County's employees. The results were not surprising in that when single benefits were analyzed in isolation, some appeared more or less generous than those offered by peers. Taken as a whole, the total package appeared to be competitively in alignment with the market.

## Chapter 5 - Recommendations

The purpose of this chapter is to provide a definitive assessment of the County's compensation and classification structures, as well as its overall benefits package and detail the recommendations for the County moving forward. The recommendations within this chapter were developed based on the findings in the preceding chapters which summarized analysis of the current compensation and classification structures the County utilizes, as well as the market study.

The sections included within this chapter are as follows:
5.1 Compensation Analysis and Recommendations
5.2 Classification Analysis and Recommendations
5.3 Benefits Analysis and Recommendations
5.4 Recommendations on Administration of the Compensation Plan
5.5 Summary

### 5.1 COMPENSATION ANALYSIS

As discussed in Chapter 3, the County currently uses four different salary tables for different employee groups. These structures were found to be well designed and are progressing employees along their salary ranges relative to how long they have been with the County.

The market survey revealed that the salary ranges offered by the County currently lag the market considerably. The lack of competitive salary ranges can lead to difficulty recruiting new employees as well as retention of quality employees.

In addition to the market survey, Evergreen provided the employees of the County with the Job Assessment Tool (JAT) survey, the results of which were used to produce a hierarchy of the classifications in the County. This rank order of job titles is used in conjunction with the results of the salary survey in order to determine the appropriate pay grade for each classification.

FINDING: While the County's pay structures are well-designed and allow for future growth and change, the salary ranges offered by the County are lagging the market.

RECOMMENDATION 1: Evergreen recommends the County perform market adjustments to its current pay plans.

Evergreen adjusted each salary table to help bridge the current gap between the County's compensation levels and the market rates. In addition to the market adjustment, Evergreen also smoothed out the range spreads and midpoint progression between grades to make
the structures more uniform and equitable at all levels. The proposed pay structures are shown in Exhibit 5A.

## EXHIBIT 5A <br> PROPOSED PAY PLANS

| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint |  |  |  | Maximum | Range <br> Spread |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 951 | $\$ 25,500$ | $\$ 33,150$ | $\$ 40,800$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 952 | $\$ 27,500$ | $\$ 35,750$ | $\$ 44,000$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 953 | $\$ 29,700$ | $\$ 38,600$ | $\$ 47,500$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 954 | $\$ 32,100$ | $\$ 41,750$ | $\$ 51,400$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 955 | $\$ 34,700$ | $\$ 45,100$ | $\$ 55,500$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 961 | $\$ 37,500$ | $\$ 48,750$ | $\$ 60,000$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 962 | $\$ 39,800$ | $\$ 51,750$ | $\$ 63,700$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 963 | $\$ 42,200$ | $\$ 54,850$ | $\$ 67,500$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 964 | $\$ 44,700$ | $\$ 58,100$ | $\$ 71,500$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 965 | $\$ 47,400$ | $\$ 61,600$ | $\$ 75,800$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 971 | $\$ 50,200$ | $\$ 65,250$ | $\$ 80,300$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 972 | $\$ 53,200$ | $\$ 69,150$ | $\$ 85,100$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 973 | $\$ 56,400$ | $\$ 73,300$ | $\$ 90,200$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 974 | $\$ 59,800$ | $\$ 79,250$ | $\$ 98,700$ | $65 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 975 | $\$ 63,400$ | $\$ 84,000$ | $\$ 104,600$ | $65 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 981 | $\$ 67,200$ | $\$ 89,050$ | $\$ 110,900$ | $65 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 982 | $\$ 71,200$ | $\$ 96,100$ | $\$ 121,000$ | $70 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 983 | $\$ 75,500$ | $\$ 103,800$ | $\$ 132,100$ | $75 \%$ |  |  |  |


| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint Maximum |  | Range <br> Spread |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | $\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 97,500$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $60 \%$ |
| D2 | $\$ 81,500$ | $\$ 105,950$ | $\$ 130,400$ | $60 \%$ |
| D3 | $\$ 85,000$ | $\$ 110,500$ | $\$ 136,000$ | $60 \%$ |
| D4 | $\$ 91,000$ | $\$ 118,300$ | $\$ 145,600$ | $60 \%$ |
| D5 | $\$ 98,500$ | $\$ 128,050$ | $\$ 157,600$ | $60 \%$ |


| Grade | Minimum | Midpoint Maximum |  | Range <br> Spread |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 991 | $\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 91,000$ | $\$ 112,000$ | $60 \%$ |
| 992 | $\$ 80,000$ | $\$ 104,000$ | $\$ 128,000$ | $60 \%$ |

Positions were then slotted into each of these proposed structures based on a combination of the JAT scores, the market survey results, and with consideration for maintaining internal equity relationships. The proposed grade assignment lists are shown in Appendices I, II and III.

In order to adopt the proposed pay plan, employees will require salary adjustments to ensure their salaries fall within their respective proposed ranges. Evergreen has provided the County with two different options to implement the proposed pay plans, each addresses placement of employee salaries into the proposed ranges differently. Evergreen recommends the County review both methods proposed, and consider not only what aligns best with its overall goals for compensating employees, but also what is fiscally responsible and sustainable. It is important to note that in the event the County is unable to implement the new structure immediately, a method of aging the market data and proposed salary ranges should be employed to ensure the recommended structure is still relevant and competitive.

Bring to Minimum: Salaries below recommended minimum adjusted to minimum; otherwise, no adjustment. The total estimated cost for this method of implementation is $\$ 895,373.36$, affecting 312 employees.

Range Penetration Based Adjustment: Employees received percentage increases that correlated with how far long their proposed range their salaries penetrated. Those employees who were closer to their proposed minimums received a greater increase than those closer to midpoint. Anyone above their proposed range midpoint did not receive an increase. The total estimated cost for this method of implementation is $\$ 1,231,910.34$, affecting 651 employees. This option also includes the cost for the bring to minimum adjustment.

Based on how employee salaries are currently progressing through their ranges appropriately, Evergreen further recommends the County maintain its current method of progressing employees' salaries through their ranges.

### 5.2 CLASSIFICATION ANALYSIS

In addition to developing a compensation plan for the County, Evergreen reviewed the current classification structure.

FINDING: Evergreen found that the County's structure was generally well-organized and employees were appropriately assigned to classifications; however, there were several classifications that required updated titles based on the current work performed.

RECOMMENDATION 2: Evergreen recommends the County adopts new titles for 31 positions that have been proposed.

### 5.3 BENEFITS ANALYSIS

As discussed in Chapter 4, the County offers a comprehensive benefits package that spans across several areas and is generally comparable to the average of its peers.

FINDING: The County possesses a comprehensive benefits package; however, the County has limited variety in the type of health coverage offered to employees.

RECOMMENDATION 3: Evergreen recommends that the County explore the feasibility of increasing the number of and type of health care plans offered to employees.

### 5.4 COMPENSATION ADMINISTRATION

FINDING: To maintain the effectiveness of the compensation structure over time, the County must devote strong administrative support and continued attention to the market in order to preserve internal and external equity.

The assessment and recommendations of this study are based on the current conditions of the County's compensation system. Additionally, compensation plans have a limited shelf life before they fall out of touch with changes happening both in the market and within the organization. As such, continuous maintenance and monitoring of the County's compensation plan is essential for keeping current with the market.

RECOMMENDATION 4: Conduct localized salary survey of market peers at regular intervals throughout the year.

Evergreen recommends that the County conduct a number of smaller market surveys throughout the year by selecting a number of benchmark classifications and surveying peers for their compensation rates for similar work performed. When selecting positions to survey, the aim should be to represent the various areas and levels of the organization, as well as selecting positions that may be experiencing recruitment or retention issues. By conducting regular market surveys, the County will be able to assess its approximate market position and make adjustments accordingly.

RECOMMENDATION 5: Provide a critical classification pay differential for positions that are difficult for the County to recruit and retain.

Some positions in the County's area are currently in high demand, and as such can become increasingly difficult for the County to recruit and retain employees in those roles. By providing a pay supplement to these positions, typically up to 10.0 percent of base salary, the County has a tool to account for these market pressures. Critical classifications should be identified through market surveys conducted by the County.

RECOMMENDATION 6: Conduct a comprehensive classification and compensation study every three years.

While smaller market surveys and maintaining job descriptions allow for strong maintenance of a compensation and classification system, it is necessary to perform a comprehensive study that can capture all of the changes, both internal and external, that occur over time.

### 5.5 SUMMARY

While the County's current compensation lags behind the market, the County's management team should be commended for their strong commitment to ensuring they are offering market competitive salaries moving forward. With the recommendations detailed above, the County has the tools to better compensate its quality employees and attract new talent more competitively.


| Department/Division | Current Classification | Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Proposed Grade | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Min } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | oposed Mid |  | posed Max |
| Engineering | Associate Project Manager | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Associate Project Manager - Coastal | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 |  | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Associate Project Manager - Transit | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 |  | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Engineering Assistant | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | S | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Real Property Specialist | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 |  | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Senior Associate Planner | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 |  | 67,500.00 |
| Engineering | Project Leader II | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ | 58,100.00 |  | 71,500.00 |
| Engineering | Senior Certified Paralegal | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ | 58,100.00 | S | 71,500.00 |
| Engineering | Construction Project Inspector | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ | 61,600.00 | \$ | 75,800.00 |
| Engineering | Planner II | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ | 61,600.00 |  | 75,800.00 |
| Engineering | Survey Assistant | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ | 65,250.00 | \$ | 80,300.00 |
| Engineering | Road Maintenance Supervisor | 971 | \$50,200.00 | \$ | 65,250.00 | S | 80,300.00 |
| Engineering | Traffic Operations Supervisor | 971 | \$50,200.00 | \$ | 65,250.00 | \$ | 80,300.00 |
| Engineering | Senior Planner | 971 | \$50,200.00 | 5 | 65,250.00 | S | 80,300.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 9,150.00 |  | 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager - Ecosystem | 972 | \$53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager - Mosquito Control | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager - Survey | 972 | \$53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager - Traffic | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager - Traffic Administrator | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 |  | 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Park Planner \& Development Administrator | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ | 73,300.00 | \$ | 90,200.00 |
| Engineering | Senior Ecosystem Specialist | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ | 73,300.00 | \$ | 90,200.00 |
| Engineering | Real Property Manager | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ | 73,300.00 | \$ | 90,200.00 |
| Engineering | Transit Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ | 79,250.00 |  | 98,700.00 |
| Engineering | County Surveyor | 974 | \$59,800.00 | \$ | 79,250.00 |  | 98,700.00 |
| Engineering | Mosquito Control Manager | 974 | \$59,800.00 | 5 | 79,250.00 |  | 98,700.00 |
| Engineering | Project Engineer | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ | 79,250.00 | \$ | 98,700.00 |
| Engineering | Coastal Engineer | 975 | \$ $63,400.00$ | S | 84,000.00 |  | 104,600.00 |
| Engineering | MPO Administrator | 975 | \$ $63,400.00$ | \$ | 84,000.00 |  | 104,600.00 |
| Engineering | Capital Projects Manager | 975 | \$ $63,400.00$ | \$ | 84,000.00 |  | 104,600.00 |
| Engineering | Field Operations/Storm Water Manager | 975 | \$ $63,400.00$ | \$ | 84,000.00 |  | 104,600.00 |
| Engineering | Ecosystem Restoration \& Management Manager | 98 | \$ $67,200.00$ | \$ | 89,050.00 |  | 110,900.00 |
| Engineering | Traffic Engineering Administrator | 981 | \$ $67,200.00$ | \$ | 89,050.00 |  | 110,900.00 |
| Engineering | Deputy County Engineer | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 |  | 103,800.00 |  | 132,100.00 |
| Engineering | Engineering Director | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 |  | 118,300.00 |  | 145,600.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 |  | 55,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | EMT/Ocean Lifeguard | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | 5 | 48,750.00 |  | 60,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Emergency Medical Dispatcher | 961 | \$ $37,500.00$ | \$ | 48,750.00 |  | 60,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Rescue Operations Coordinator | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | 5 | 48,750.00 |  | 60,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Rescue Resource Specialist | 961 | \$ $37,500.00$ | \$ | 48,750.00 |  | 60,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 |  | 63,700.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Mechanic - Non Combat | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | 5 | 51,750.00 |  | 63,700.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Senior Telecommunicator | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 |  | 67,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Inspector - Sworn | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 |  | 67,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Mechanic | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | S | 54,850.00 |  | 67,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Lifeguard Training Officer | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ | 58,100.00 | \$ | 71,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Firefighter EMT - Probationary | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | 5 | 58,100.00 |  | $71,500.00$ |
| Fire Rescue | Firefighter EMT | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ | 58,100.00 |  | 71,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Ocean Rescue Captain | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | 5 | 61,600.00 | \$ | 75,800.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Planner II | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ | 61,600.00 | \$ | 75,800.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Logistics Coordinator | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ | 65,250.00 | \$ | 80,300.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Programs Manager | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ | 65,250.00 |  | 80,300.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Ocean Rescue Chief | 972 | \$53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 |  | 85,100.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Firefighter Paramedic | 972 | \$53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 |  | 85,100.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Deputy Director/Emergency Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ | 79,250.00 |  | 98,700.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Lieutenant | 974 | \$59,800.00 | \$ | 79,250.00 | S | 98,700.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fleet Services Administrator | 975 | \$ $63,400.00$ | 5 | 84,000.00 |  | 104,600.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Emergency Management Agency Director | 981 | \$ $67,200.00$ | \$ | 89,050.00 |  | 110,900.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Bureau Chief | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ | 96,100.00 |  | 121,000.00 |


| Department/Division | Current Classification | Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Proposed Grade | Proposed Min | Proposed Mid | Proposed Max |
| Fire Rescue | Battalion Chief | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Division Chief | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 | \$ 103,800.00 | \$ 132,100.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Rescue Chief | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| General Services | Lead Custodian | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | 33,150.00 | \$ $40,800.00$ |
| General Services | Maintenance Worker III | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| General Services | Accounts Assistant | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ $51,400.00$ |
| General Services | Parts Inventory Coordinator | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| General Services | Carpenter | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | 60,000.00 |
| General Services | Electrician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| General Services | HVAC Technician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | 60,000.00 |
| General Services | Mechanic III | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| General Services | Plumber | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| General Services | Mechanic IV | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| General Services | Environmental Systems Technician | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| General Services | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| General Services | Accessibility Coordinator | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| General Services | Building Operations Supervisor | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| General Services | Lead Carpenter | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| General Services | Lead Electrician | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| General Services | Lead Plumber | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| General Services | N/A | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| General Services | N/A | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| General Services | Special Projects Administrator | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| General Services | Service Manager | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ $75,800.00$ |
| General Services | Security/Life Safety Administrator | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| General Services | Building Operations Superintendent | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| General Services | Building Maintenance Administrator | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| General Services | Vehicle Services Administrator | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| General Services | General Services Director | D1 | \$ 75,000.00 | 97,500.00 | \$ 120,000.00 |
| Growth Management | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Growth Management | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Growth Management | Zoning Compliance Technician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Growth Management | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Growth Management | Planner II | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Growth Management | Zoning Compliance Supervisor | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Growth Management | Senior Planner | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Growth Management | Sr Planner-Development Review/Environmental | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Growth Management | Sr Planner-Environmental Planning | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Growth Management | Principal Planner | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Growth Management | Compliance \& Enforcement Administrator | 975 | \$ $63,400.00$ | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Growth Management | Growth Management Director | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Health \& Human Services | N/A | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ 33,150.00 | \$ $40,800.00$ |
| Health \& Human Services | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Health \& Human Services | Case Manager II | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Health \& Human Services | Health \& Human Services Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Human Resources | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Human Resources | Reception \& Information Specialist | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Human Resources | Risk Management Specialist | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Human Resources | Human Resources Analyst | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Human Resources | Human Resources Administrator | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 | \$ 103,800.00 | \$ 132,100.00 |
| Information Technology Services | ITS Help Desk Representative | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Information Technology Services | PC Support Technician | 955 | \$ $34,700.00$ | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Desktop Computer Specialist | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Desktop Analyst | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Information Technology Services | System Communications Technician | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Information Technology Services | GIS Specialist | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Information Technology Services | IT Business Process Analyst | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Network Administrator | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Systems Analyst | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Records Manager \& Enterprise Application Coord. | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |


|  |  | Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department/Division | Current Classification | Proposed Grade | Proposed Min | Proposed Mid | Proposed Max |
| Information Technology Services | Database Administrator | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Senior Network Administrator | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Information Technology Services | System Administrator II | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Radio Systems Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Professional Services Administrator | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Information Technology Services | IT Technical Services Manager | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Project \& Services Manager | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Telecommunications Manager | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Chief Information Officer | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Legal | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Legal | Legal Secretary - Litigation | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Legal | Secretary To The County Attorney | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Legal | Assistant County Attorney | 991 | \$ 70,000.00 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 112,000.00 |
| Legal | Sr Assistant County Attorney | 992 | \$ 80,000.00 | \$ 104,000.00 | \$ 128,000.00 |
| Legal | County Attorney | UNGRADED |  |  |  |
| Library | Library Marketing Specialist | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Library | Library Specialist | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Library | Accounts Assistant | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Library | Senior Library Assistant | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Library | Senior Library Assistant | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Library | Childrens Assistant | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Library | Staff Development Specialist | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Library | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Library | Librarian I | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Library | Electronic Resources Coordinator | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Library | Library Literacy Instructor | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Library | Special Events/Volunteer Manager | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Library | Library Branch Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Library | Library Facilities Planner | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Library | Library Public Services Manager | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Library | Literacy Education \& Outreach Manager | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Library | Collections Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Library | Library Operations \& Services Administrator | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Library | Library Director | D1 | \$ 75,000.00 | \$ 97,500.00 | \$ 120,000.00 |
| Multimedia Services | Multi-Media Specialist | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Multimedia Services | Multi-Media Specialist | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Multimedia Services | N/A | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Data Input Clerk | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ 33,150.00 | \$ 40,800.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Services Specialist II | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ 33,150.00 | \$ 40,800.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Resident Park Caretaker | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Services Specialist III | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Recreation Leader | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Operations Specialist | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Equipment Operator III | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Projects Crew Chief | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Services Specialist IV | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Commercial Horticulture Agent | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Park Supervisor | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Recreation Coordinator | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Aquatic Maintenance Supervisor | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Special Facilities Manager | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Digital Marketing Specialist | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Superintendent | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Special Events/Volunteer Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Business Operations Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Marketing Coordinator | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Special Facilities - General Manager | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Recreation And Tourism Administrator | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | General Manager | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |


|  |  | Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department/Division | Current Classification | Proposed Grade | Proposed Min | Proposed Mid | Proposed Max |
| Parks \& Recreation | Special Facilities Administrator | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Deputy Director | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks \& Recreation Director | D3 | \$ 85,000.00 | \$ 110,500.00 | \$ 136,000.00 |
| Purchasing | Contract Coordinator | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Purchasing | Procurement Specialist | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Purchasing | Purchasing Manager | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Tourism \& Marketing | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Tourism \& Marketing | Tourism \& Marketing Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Meter Reader | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ 33,150.00 | \$ 40,800.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Customer Service Representative | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Scale Technician | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Service Worker I | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Service Worker II | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Pump Out Boat Operator | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utility Line Locator | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Equipment Operator III | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Customer Billing Coordinator | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | N/A | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Development Review Coordinator | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Hazardous Materials Technician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Equipment Operator IV | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Reuse Coordinator | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Treatment Plant Operator II | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Mechanic | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Compliance Technician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Treatment Plant Operator I | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Compliance Technician | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities/Solid Waste Mechanic | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Environmental Specialist | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Customer Service Coordinator | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Industrial Electrician | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Industrial Electrician - Field | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Treatment Plant Operator III | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Senior Associate Planner | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Solid Waste Program Manager | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Instrumentation Technician | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | GIS Specialist | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Chief Plant Operator | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Customer Service Supervisor | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Construction Inspector | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Assistant Maintenance Superintendent | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Transfer Operations Supervisor | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Process Control SCADA Coordinator | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Treatment Plant Operations Manager | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Laboratory Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Hydrogeologist | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Project Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Project Engineer | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Maintenance Superintendent | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Solid Waste Administrator | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Treatment Plant Operations Administrator | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Technical Service Administrator | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Deputy Director | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 | \$ 103,800.00 | \$ 132,100.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utility \& Solid Waste Director | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Veterans | Veterans Services Supervisor | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Veterans | Veterans Services Supervisor | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |


|  |  | Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Classification | Department/Division | Proposed Grade | Proposed Min | Proposed Mid | Proposed Max |
| Accessibility Coordinator | General Services | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Accounts Assistant | Airport | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Accounts Assistant | General Services | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Accounts Assistant | Library | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Administrative Specialist II | Building | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Administrative Specialist II | Engineering | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ $51,400.00$ |
| Administrative Specialist II | Fire Rescue | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Administrative Specialist II | Growth Management | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Administrative Specialist II | Health \& Human Services | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Administrative Specialist II | Parks \& Recreation | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Administrative Specialist II | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Administrative Specialist III | Engineering | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Administrative Specialist III | Fire Rescue | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Administrative Specialist III | Growth Management | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Administrative Specialist III | Human Resources | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Administrative Specialist III | Legal | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Administrative Specialist III | Parks \& Recreation | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Administrative Specialist III | Tourism \& Marketing | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Airport Maintenance Technician | Airport | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Airport Manager | Airport | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Airport Operations Coordinator | Airport | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Aquatic Maintenance Supervisor | Parks \& Recreation | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Assistant Building Official | Building | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Assistant County Administrator | Administration | D5 | \$ 98,500.00 | \$ 128,050.00 | \$ 157,600.00 |
| Assistant County Attorney | Legal | 991 | \$ 70,000.00 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 112,000.00 |
| Assistant Maintenance Superintendent | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Associate Project Manager | Engineering | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Associate Project Manager - Coastal | Engineering | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Associate Project Manager - Transit | Engineering | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Battalion Chief | Fire Rescue | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Bridgetender | Engineering | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ 33,150.00 | \$ $40,800.00$ |
| Building Department Support Technician | Building | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Building Maintenance Administrator | General Services | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Building Official/Director | Building | D3 | \$ 85,000.00 | \$ 110,500.00 | \$ 136,000.00 |
| Building Operations Administrator | Building | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Building Operations Superintendent | General Services | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Building Operations Supervisor | General Services | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Building Permits Administrator | Building | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Building Technician Administrator | Building | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Bureau Chief | Fire Rescue | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Business Operations Manager | Parks \& Recreation | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| CAD Operator | Engineering | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Capital Projects Manager | Engineering | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Carpenter | General Services | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Case Manager II | Health \& Human Services | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Chief Information Officer | Information Technology Services | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Chief Inspector/Plans Examiner | Building | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Chief Plant Operator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Childrens Assistant | Library | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Coastal Engineer | Engineering | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Code Compliance Administrator | Building | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Code Compliance Investigator | Building | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Collections Manager | Library | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Commercial Horticulture Agent | Parks \& Recreation | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Communications/Outreach Coordinator | Administration | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Community Development Director | Community Development | D2 | \$ 81,500.00 | \$ 105,950.00 | \$ 130,400.00 |
| Community Development Specialist | Community Development | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Compliance \& Enforcement Administrator | Growth Management | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Compliance Technician | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Compliance Technician | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Construction Inspector | Building | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |


| Current Classification | Department/Division | Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Proposed Grade | Proposed <br> Min | Proposed Mid | Proposed Max |
| Construction Inspector | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Construction Project Inspector | Building | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Construction Project Inspector | Engineering | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Construction Worker I | Engineering | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Construction Worker II | Engineering | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Contract Coordinator | Purchasing | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | 63,700.00 |
| County Administrator | Administration | UNGRADED |  |  |  |
| County Attorney | Legal | UNGRADED |  |  |  |
| County Surveyor | Engineering | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Customer Billing Coordinator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | 55,500.00 |
| Customer Service Coordinator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | 67,500.00 |
| Customer Service Representative | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Data Input Clerk | Parks \& Recreation | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | 33,150.00 | 40,800.00 |
| Database Administrator | Information Technology Services | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | 85,100.00 |
| Deputy County Engineer | Engineering | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 | \$ 103,800.00 | \$ 132,100.00 |
| Deputy Director | Parks \& Recreation | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Deputy Director/Emergency Manager | Fire Rescue | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | 79,250.00 | 98,700.00 |
| Desktop Analyst | Information Technology Services | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | 63,700.00 |
| Desktop Computer Specialist | Information Technology Services | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | 63,700.00 |
| Development Review Coordinator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | 60,000.00 |
| Digital Marketing Specialist | Parks \& Recreation | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Director Of Administration | Administration | D3 | \$ 85,000.00 | \$ 110,500.00 | \$ 136,000.00 |
| Division Chief | Fire Rescue | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 | \$ 103,800.00 | \$ 132,100.00 |
| Ecosystem Restoration \& Management Manager | Engineering | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Electrician | General Services | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Electronic Resources Coordinator | Library | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Emergency Management Agency Director | Fire Rescue | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Emergency Medical Dispatcher | Fire Rescue | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | 48,750.00 | 60,000.00 |
| EMT/Ocean Lifeguard | Fire Rescue | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Engineering Assistant | Engineering | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering Director | Engineering | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Entomological Inspector | Engineering | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | 38,600.00 | 47,500.00 |
| Environmental Specialist | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Environmental Systems Technician | General Services | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | 63,700.00 |
| Equipment Operator I | Engineering | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Equipment Operator II | Engineering | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Equipment Operator III | Engineering | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Equipment Operator III | Parks \& Recreation | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Equipment Operator III | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Equipment Operator IV | Engineering | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | 60,000.00 |
| Equipment Operator IV | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Executive Aide | Administration | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Executive Aide | Airport | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | 63,700.00 |
| Executive Aide | Building | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Executive Aide | Commission | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | 63,700.00 |
| Executive Aide | Engineering | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Executive Aide | Fire Rescue | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Executive Aide | General Services | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Executive Aide | Growth Management | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Executive Aide | Information Technology Services | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Executive Aide | Library | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Executive Aide | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Field Operations/Storm Water Manager | Engineering | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Financial Analyst | Budget | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Fire Inspector - Sworn | Fire Rescue | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Fire Mechanic | Fire Rescue | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Fire Mechanic - Non Combat | Fire Rescue | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Fire Rescue Chief | Fire Rescue | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Fire Rescue Operations Coordinator | Fire Rescue | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue Resource Specialist | Fire Rescue | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Firefighter EMT | Fire Rescue | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |



| Current Classification | Department/Division | Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Proposed Grade | Proposed <br> Min |  | oposed Mid |  | posed Max |
| N/A | Multimedia Services | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| N/A | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Network Administrator | Information Technology Services | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ | 58,100.00 | \$ | 71,500.00 |
| Ocean Rescue Captain | Fire Rescue | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ | 61,600.00 | \$ | 75,800.00 |
| Ocean Rescue Chief | Fire Rescue | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Park Planner \& Development Administrator | Engineering | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ | 73,300.00 | \$ | 90,200.00 |
| Park Supervisor | Parks \& Recreation | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation Director | Parks \& Recreation | D3 | \$ 85,000.00 |  | 110,500.00 |  | 136,000.00 |
| Parks Operations Specialist | Parks \& Recreation | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Parks Projects Crew Chief | Parks \& Recreation | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Parks Services Specialist II | Parks \& Recreation | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ | 33,150.00 | \$ | 40,800.00 |
| Parks Services Specialist III | Parks \& Recreation | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Parks Services Specialist IV | Parks \& Recreation | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Parks Superintendent | Parks \& Recreation | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Parts Inventory Coordinator | General Services | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| PC Support Technician | Information Technology Services | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Permit Technician | Engineering | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Planner II | Engineering | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ | 61,600.00 | \$ | 75,800.00 |
| Planner II | Fire Rescue | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ | 61,600.00 | \$ | 75,800.00 |
| Planner II | Growth Management | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ | 61,600.00 | \$ | 75,800.00 |
| Plans Examiner | Building | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ | 65,250.00 | \$ | 80,300.00 |
| Plumber | General Services | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Principal Planner | Growth Management | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ | 73,300.00 | \$ | 90,200.00 |
| Process Control SCADA Coordinator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ | 65,250.00 | \$ | 80,300.00 |
| Procurement Specialist | Purchasing | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Professional Services Administrator | Information Technology Services | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ | 89,050.00 |  | 110,900.00 |
| Programs Manager | Fire Rescue | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ | 65,250.00 | \$ | 80,300.00 |
| Project \& Services Manager | Information Technology Services | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ | 89,050.00 |  | 110,900.00 |
| Project Engineer | Engineering | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ | 79,250.00 | \$ | 98,700.00 |
| Project Engineer | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ | 79,250.00 | \$ | 98,700.00 |
| Project Leader I | Engineering | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Project Leader II | Engineering | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ | 58,100.00 | \$ | 71,500.00 |
| Project Manager | Engineering | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Project Manager | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Project Manager - Ecosystem | Engineering | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Project Manager - Mosquito Control | Engineering | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Project Manager - Survey | Engineering | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Project Manager - Traffic | Engineering | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Project Manager - Traffic Administrator | Engineering | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ | 69,150.00 | \$ | 85,100.00 |
| Pump Out Boat Operator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Purchasing Manager | Purchasing | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ | 84,000.00 |  | 104,600.00 |
| Radio Systems Manager | Information Technology Services | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ | 79,250.00 | \$ | 98,700.00 |
| Real Property Manager | Engineering | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ | 73,300.00 | \$ | 90,200.00 |
| Real Property Specialist | Engineering | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Reception \& Information Specialist | Human Resources | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Records Manager \& Enterprise Application Coord. | Information Technology Services | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ | 65,250.00 | \$ | 80,300.00 |
| Recreation And Tourism Administrator | Parks \& Recreation | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ | 79,250.00 | \$ | 98,700.00 |
| Recreation Coordinator | Parks \& Recreation | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| Recreation Leader | Parks \& Recreation | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Resident Park Caretaker | Parks \& Recreation | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Reuse Coordinator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Risk Management Specialist | Human Resources | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Road Maintenance Supervisor | Engineering | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ | 65,250.00 | \$ | 80,300.00 |
| Scale Technician | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Secretary To The County Attorney | Legal | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| Security/Life Safety Administrator | General Services | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ | 61,600.00 | \$ | 75,800.00 |
| Senior Associate Planner | Engineering | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| Senior Associate Planner | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| Senior Certified Paralegal | Engineering | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ | 58,100.00 | \$ | 71,500.00 |
| Senior Ecosystem Specialist | Engineering | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ | 73,300.00 | \$ | 90,200.00 |
| Senior Financial Analyst | Budget | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ | 58,100.00 | S | 71,500.00 |


|  |  | Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Classification | Department/Division | Proposed Grade | Proposed Min | Proposed Mid | Proposed Max |
| Senior Library Assistant | Library | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Senior Library Assistant | Library | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Senior Network Administrator | Information Technology Services | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Senior Planner | Engineering | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Senior Planner | Growth Management | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Senior Telecommunicator | Fire Rescue | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Service Manager | General Services | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Solid Waste Administrator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Solid Waste Program Manager | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Special Events/Volunteer Manager | Library | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Special Events/Volunteer Manager | Parks \& Recreation | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Special Facilities - General Manager | Parks \& Recreation | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Special Facilities Administrator | Parks \& Recreation | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Special Facilities Manager | Parks \& Recreation | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Special Projects Administrator | General Services | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Special Projects Coordinator | Administration | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Sr Assistant County Attorney | Legal | 992 | \$ 80,000.00 | \$ 104,000.00 | \$ 128,000.00 |
| Sr Planner-Development Review/Environmental | Growth Management | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Sr Planner-Environmental Planning | Growth Management | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Staff Development Specialist | Library | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Street Light Technician | Engineering | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ 41,750.00 | \$ 51,400.00 |
| Survey Aide II | Engineering | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Survey Assistant | Engineering | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Survey Party Chief | Engineering | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| System Administrator II | Information Technology Services | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| System Communications Technician | Information Technology Services | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Systems Analyst | Information Technology Services | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Technical Service Administrator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Telecommunications Manager | Information Technology Services | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Tourism \& Marketing Manager | Tourism \& Marketing | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Traffic Engineering Administrator | Engineering | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Traffic Operations Supervisor | Engineering | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Traffic Operations Technician | Engineering | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Traffic Signal Technician | Engineering | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Transfer Operations Supervisor | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Transit Manager | Engineering | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Treatment Plant Operations Administrator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Treatment Plant Operations Manager | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Treatment Plant Operator I | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Treatment Plant Operator II | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Treatment Plant Operator III | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Urban Designer | Community Development | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Utilities Customer Service Supervisor | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Utilities Deputy Director | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 | \$ 103,800.00 | \$ 132,100.00 |
| Utilities Financial Coordinator | Budget | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Utilities Instrumentation Technician | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities Mechanic | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Utilities Service Worker I | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ 35,750.00 | \$ 44,000.00 |
| Utilities Service Worker II | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Utilities/Solid Waste Mechanic | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Utility \& Solid Waste Director | Utilities \& Solid Waste | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Utility Line Locator | Engineering | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Utility Line Locator | Utilities \& Solid Waste | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ 38,600.00 | \$ 47,500.00 |
| Vehicle Services Administrator | General Services | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Veterans Services Supervisor | Veterans | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Veterans Services Supervisor | Veterans | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Zoning Compliance Supervisor | Growth Management | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Zoning Compliance Technician | Growth Management | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |


| Department/Division | Current Classification | Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Proposed Grade | Proposed Min |  | oposed Mid |  | posed Max |
| Parks \& Recreation | Data Input Clerk | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ | 33,150.00 | \$ | 40,800.00 |
| Engineering | Bridgetender | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ | 33,150.00 | \$ | 40,800.00 |
| Engineering | Construction Worker I | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | $35,750.00$ | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Engineering | Equipment Operator I | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Engineering | Maintenance Worker II | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| General Services | Lead Custodian | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ | 33,150.00 | \$ | 40,800.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Services Specialist II | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ | 33,150.00 | \$ | 40,800.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Meter Reader | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ | 33,150.00 | \$ | 40,800.00 |
| Airport | Airport Maintenance Technician | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Airport | Airport Operations Coordinator | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Engineering | Construction Worker II | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Engineering | Equipment Operator II | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Engineering | Survey Aide II | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| General Services | Maintenance Worker III | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Library | Library Marketing Specialist | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Library | Library Specialist | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Services Specialist III | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Recreation Leader | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | $38,600.00$ | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Resident Park Caretaker | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Customer Service Representative | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Scale Technician | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Service Worker I | 952 | \$ 27,500.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 | \$ | 44,000.00 |
| Engineering | Entomological Inspector | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Engineering | Traffic Operations Technician | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Service Worker II | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Airport | Accounts Assistant | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Building | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Building | Building Department Support Technician | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Engineering | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Engineering | Equipment Operator III | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Engineering | Mosquito Control Technician | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Engineering | Permit Technician | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Engineering | Project Leader I | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Street Light Technician | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Engineering | Utility Line Locator | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Fire Rescue | EMT/Ocean Lifeguard | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| General Services | Accounts Assistant | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| General Services | Parts Inventory Coordinator | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Growth Management | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Health \& Human Services | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Information Technology Services | ITS Help Desk Representative | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Library | Accounts Assistant | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Library | Senior Library Assistant | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Library | Senior Library Assistant | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Library | Staff Development Specialist | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Commercial Horticulture Agent | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Equipment Operator III | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Operations Specialist | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Projects Crew Chief | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Services Specialist IV | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Administrative Specialist II | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Customer Billing Coordinator | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Development Review Coordinator | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Equipment Operator III | 954 | \$ 32,100.00 | \$ | 41,750.00 | \$ | 51,400.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Hazardous Materials Technician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Pump Out Boat Operator | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utility Line Locator | 953 | \$ 29,700.00 | \$ | 38,600.00 | \$ | 47,500.00 |
| Engineering | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Engineering | Equipment Operator IV | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |


| Department/Division | Current Classification | Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Proposed Grade | Proposed Min | Proposed Mid |  | Proposed Max |  |
| Engineering | Maintenance Activities Coordinator | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Engineering | Mosquito Control Specialist | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Engineering | Traffic Signal Technician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Lifeguard Training Officer | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ | 58,100.00 | \$ | 71,500.00 |
| General Services | Carpenter | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| General Services | Electrician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| General Services | HVAC Technician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| General Services | Mechanic III | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| General Services | Plumber | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Growth Management | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Human Resources | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Information Technology Services | PC Support Technician | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Legal | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Library | Childrens Assistant | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Tourism \& Marketing | Administrative Specialist III | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Equipment Operator IV | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Treatment Plant Operator I | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Airport | Lead Airport Maintenance Technician | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 55,500.00 |
| Engineering | CAD Operator | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Engineering | Survey Party Chief | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Emergency Medical Dispatcher | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Rescue Operations Coordinator | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Ocean Rescue Captain | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ | 61,600.00 | \$ | 75,800.00 |
| General Services | Mechanic IV | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Growth Management | Zoning Compliance Technician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Human Resources | Reception \& Information Specialist | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Desktop Computer Specialist | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Legal | Legal Secretary - Litigation | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Park Supervisor | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Recreation Coordinator | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Customer Service Coordinator | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Reuse Coordinator | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Treatment Plant Operator II | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Mechanic | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Administration | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Airport | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Building | Code Compliance Investigator | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Building | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Commission | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Associate Project Manager | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Associate Project Manager - Coastal | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Associate Project Manager - Transit | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Engineering Assistant | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Engineering | Project Leader II | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ | 58,100.00 | \$ | 71,500.00 |
| Engineering | Real Property Specialist | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Rescue Resource Specialist | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ | 48,750.00 | \$ | 60,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Senior Telecommunicator | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| General Services | Accessibility Coordinator | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| General Services | Building Operations Supervisor | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| General Services | Environmental Systems Technician | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| General Services | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| General Services | Lead Carpenter | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| General Services | Lead Electrician | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| General Services | Lead Plumber | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ | 54,850.00 | \$ | 67,500.00 |
| Growth Management | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Human Resources | Risk Management Specialist | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Desktop Analyst | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ | 51,750.00 | \$ | 63,700.00 |


|  |  | Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department/Division | Current Classification | Proposed Grade | Proposed Min | Proposed Mid | Proposed Max |
| Information Technology Services | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Information Technology Services | GIS Specialist | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Information Technology Services | System Communications Technician | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Legal | Secretary To The County Attorney | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Library | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Library | Librarian I | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Aquatic Maintenance Supervisor | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Purchasing | Contract Coordinator | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Purchasing | Procurement Specialist | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Compliance Technician | 961 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 48,750.00 | \$ 60,000.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Compliance Technician | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Executive Aide | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | GIS Specialist | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Industrial Electrician | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Industrial Electrician - Field | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Treatment Plant Operator III | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities/Solid Waste Mechanic | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Budget | Financial Analyst | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Engineering | Senior Associate Planner | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Engineering | Survey Assistant | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Inspector - Sworn | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Ocean Rescue Chief | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| General Services | Building Operations Superintendent | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| General Services | Service Manager | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Library | Electronic Resources Coordinator | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Library | Library Branch Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Library | Library Literacy Instructor | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Library | Special Events/Volunteer Manager | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Digital Marketing Specialist | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks Superintendent | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Special Events/Volunteer Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Special Facilities Manager | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Environmental Specialist | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Senior Associate Planner | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Solid Waste Program Manager | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Customer Service Supervisor | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Instrumentation Technician | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Budget | Senior Financial Analyst | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Building | Construction Inspector | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Building | Construction Project Inspector | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Community Development | Housing Program Coordinator | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Engineering | Construction Project Inspector | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Engineering | Planner II | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Engineering | Road Maintenance Supervisor | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Engineering | Senior Certified Paralegal | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Engineering | Traffic Operations Supervisor | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Planner II | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| General Services | Building Maintenance Administrator | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| General Services | Security/Life Safety Administrator | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| General Services | Special Projects Administrator | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| General Services | Vehicle Services Administrator | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Growth Management | Planner II | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Growth Management | Zoning Compliance Supervisor | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Information Technology Services | IT Business Process Analyst | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Network Administrator | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Library | Collections Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Library | Library Facilities Planner | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Library | Library Public Services Manager | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Library | Literacy Education \& Outreach Manager | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Multimedia Services | Multi-Media Specialist | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Multimedia Services | Multi-Media Specialist | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |


|  |  | Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department/Division | Current Classification | Proposed Grade | Proposed Min | Proposed Mid | Proposed Max |
| Parks \& Recreation | Business Operations Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Assistant Maintenance Superintendent | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Chief Plant Operator | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Construction Inspector | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Transfer Operations Supervisor | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Veterans | Veterans Services Supervisor | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |
| Veterans | Veterans Services Supervisor | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Administration | Communications/Outreach Coordinator | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Administration | Special Projects Coordinator | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Building | Plans Examiner | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Community Development | Community Development Specialist | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Community Development | Urban Designer | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Engineering | Senior Planner | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Logistics Coordinator | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Programs Manager | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Growth Management | Senior Planner | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Growth Management | Sr Planner-Development Review/Environmental | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Growth Management | Sr Planner-Environmental Planning | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Human Resources | Human Resources Analyst | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Systems Analyst | 965 | \$ 47,400.00 | \$ 61,600.00 | \$ 75,800.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Marketing Coordinator | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Special Facilities - General Manager | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Laboratory Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Process Control SCADA Coordinator | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Treatment Plant Operations Manager | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Administration | Intergovernmental Relations \& Grants Coordinator | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Budget | Utilities Financial Coordinator | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Building | Building Permits Administrator | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Building | Chief Inspector/Plans Examiner | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Building | Code Compliance Administrator | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Engineering | Park Planner \& Development Administrator | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager - Ecosystem | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager - Mosquito Control | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager - Survey | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager - Traffic | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Project Manager - Traffic Administrator | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Engineering | Senior Ecosystem Specialist | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Engineering | Transit Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Growth Management | Principal Planner | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Database Administrator | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Records Manager \& Enterprise Application Coord. | 971 | \$ 50,200.00 | \$ 65,250.00 | \$ 80,300.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Senior Network Administrator | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Information Technology Services | System Administrator II | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Library | Library Operations \& Services Administrator | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | General Manager | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Recreation And Tourism Administrator | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Hydrogeologist | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Project Manager | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Airport | Airport Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Building | Building Technician Administrator | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Engineering | Real Property Manager | 973 | \$ 56,400.00 | \$ 73,300.00 | \$ 90,200.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Deputy Director/Emergency Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Health \& Human Services | Health \& Human Services Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Professional Services Administrator | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Radio Systems Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Special Facilities Administrator | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Tourism \& Marketing | Tourism \& Marketing Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Building | Building Operations Administrator | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Engineering | Coastal Engineer | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Engineering | County Surveyor | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |


|  |  | Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department/Division | Current Classification | Proposed Grade | Proposed Min | Proposed Mid | Proposed Max |
| Engineering | Mosquito Control Manager | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Engineering | MPO Administrator | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Engineering | Project Engineer | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Emergency Management Agency Director | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Growth Management | Compliance \& Enforcement Administrator | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Information Technology Services | IT Technical Services Manager | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Project \& Services Manager | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Telecommunications Manager | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Deputy Director | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Purchasing | Purchasing Manager | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Maintenance Superintendent | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Project Engineer | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Solid Waste Administrator | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Treatment Plant Operations Administrator | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utilities Deputy Director | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 | \$ 103,800.00 | \$ 132,100.00 |
| Budget | Grants Compliance/Budget Manager | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Building | Assistant Building Official | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Engineering | Capital Projects Manager | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Engineering | Ecosystem Restoration \& Management Manager | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Engineering | Field Operations/Storm Water Manager | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Engineering | Traffic Engineering Administrator | 981 | \$ 67,200.00 | \$ 89,050.00 | \$ 110,900.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Bureau Chief | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fleet Services Administrator | 975 | \$ 63,400.00 | \$ 84,000.00 | \$ 104,600.00 |
| Human Resources | Human Resources Administrator | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 | \$ 103,800.00 | \$ 132,100.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Technical Service Administrator | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Engineering | Deputy County Engineer | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 | \$ 103,800.00 | \$ 132,100.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Division Chief | 983 | \$ 75,500.00 | \$ 103,800.00 | \$ 132,100.00 |
| Administration | Assistant County Administrator | D5 | \$ 98,500.00 | \$ 128,050.00 | \$ 157,600.00 |
| Engineering | Engineering Director | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Rescue Chief | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Growth Management | Growth Management Director | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Information Technology Services | Chief Information Officer | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | Utility \& Solid Waste Director | D4 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 118,300.00 | \$ 145,600.00 |
| Administration | Director Of Administration | D3 | \$ 85,000.00 | \$ 110,500.00 | \$ 136,000.00 |
| Building | Building Official/Director | D3 | \$ 85,000.00 | \$ 110,500.00 | \$ 136,000.00 |
| Parks \& Recreation | Parks \& Recreation Director | D3 | \$ 85,000.00 | \$ 110,500.00 | \$ 136,000.00 |
| Community Development | Community Development Director | D2 | \$ 81,500.00 | \$ 105,950.00 | \$ 130,400.00 |
| General Services | General Services Director | D1 | \$ 75,000.00 | \$ 97,500.00 | \$ 120,000.00 |
| Library | Library Director | D1 | \$ 75,000.00 | \$ 97,500.00 | \$ 120,000.00 |
| Administration | County Administrator | UNGRADED |  |  |  |
| Legal | County Attorney | UNGRADED |  |  |  |
| Legal | Assistant County Attorney | 991 | \$ 70,000.00 | \$ 91,000.00 | \$ 112,000.00 |
| Legal | Sr Assistant County Attorney | 992 | \$ 80,000.00 | \$ 104,000.00 | \$ 128,000.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Firefighter EMT | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Firefighter EMT - Probationary | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Mechanic - Non Combat | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Fire Mechanic | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Firefighter Paramedic | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Lieutenant | 974 | \$ 59,800.00 | \$ 79,250.00 | \$ 98,700.00 |
| Fire Rescue | Battalion Chief | 982 | \$ 71,200.00 | \$ 96,100.00 | \$ 121,000.00 |
| Health \& Human Services | Case Manager II | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Community Services | N/A | 962 | \$ 39,800.00 | \$ 51,750.00 | \$ 63,700.00 |
| Community Services | N/A | 964 | \$ 44,700.00 | \$ 58,100.00 | \$ 71,500.00 |
| General Services | N/A | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| General Services | N/A | 963 | \$ 42,200.00 | \$ 54,850.00 | \$ 67,500.00 |
| Health \& Human Services | N/A | 951 | \$ 25,500.00 | \$ 33,150.00 | \$ 40,800.00 |
| Multimedia Services | N/A | 972 | \$ 53,200.00 | \$ 69,150.00 | \$ 85,100.00 |
| Utilities \& Solid Waste | N/A | 955 | \$ 34,700.00 | \$ 45,100.00 | \$ 55,500.00 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. (September 2014). Employee Tenure Summary [Economic News Release]. Retrieved from http://www.bls.gov/news.release/tenure.nrO.htm

